## Exhibit A

Transcript of June 21, 2023 Status Conference

1	INITED OFFICE	
2	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF FLORIDA	
3	MIAMI DIVISION CASE NO. 1:22-cr-20431-KMM-2	
4		
5	IN RE: FTX CRYPTOCURRENCY EXCHANGE COLLAPSE LITIGATION	Miami, Florida
6		June 21, 2023
7		10:00 AM - 11:12 PM
8		
9		Pages 1 to 62
10	TRANSCRIPT OF STATUS CONFERENCE	
11	BEFORE THE HONORAN UNITED STATES N	
12	APPEARANCES:	
13		
14	FOR THE PLAINTIFF:	DAVID BOIES, ESQ. STEPHEN ZACK, ESQ.
15		BOIES SCHILLER FLEXNER LLP 100 SE 2nd Street
16		SUITE 2800 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33131
17		ADAM MOSKOWITZ, ESQ.
18		HOWARD BUSHMAN, ESQ. JOSEPH KAYE, ESQ.
19		THE MOSKOWITZ LAW FIRM 3250 MARY STREET
20		SUITE 202 MIAMI, FLORIDA 33133
21		RACHEL FURST, ESQ.
22		JOHN BYRNE, ESQ. MADERAL BYRNE & FURST PLLC
23		2800 PONCE DE LEON BOULEVARD SUITE 1100
24		CORAL GABLES, FL 33134-6933
25		

1	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT SAM BANKMAN-FRIED:	GERALD EDWARD GREENBERG, ESQ. GELBER SCHACHTER & GREENBERG
2		1 SE 3RD AVE, SUITE 2600 MIAMI, FL 33131
3		
4	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS TOM BRADY, GISELE BUNDCHEN, LAWRENCE	,
5	SHAQUILLE O'NEAL, AND NAOMI OSAKA:	
6	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS UDONIS	CUDICEODUED CARVED ECO
7		AKERMAN LLP 201 E LAS OLAS BOULEVARD
8		SUITE 1800
9		FT LAUDERDALE, FL 33301
10	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANTS	
11	~	LATHAM WATKINS 10250 CONSTELLATION BOULEVARD, SUITE 1100
12	DAVID:	LOS ANGELES, CA 90067
13		
14	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT SEQUOIA CAPITAL:	RISHI ZUTSHI, ESQ. CLEARY GOTTLIEB STEEN & HAMILTON LLP
15		ONE LIBERTY PLAZA NEW YORK, NY 10006
16		NEW TORK, NI 10000
17		
18	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT PARADIGM OPERATIONS LP:	SKADDEN ARPS SLATE ONE MANHATTAN WEST
19		NEW YORK, NEW YORK 10001  -AND-
20		THOMAS "TODD" PITTENGER, ESQ. GRAY ROBINSON, P.A.
21		301 E PINE ST, SUITE 1400 ORLANDO, FL 32801
22	ON BEHALF OF DEFENDANT THOMA	ANNA TERTERYAN, ESQ.
23	BRAVO:	KIRKLAND & ELLIS 555 SOUTH FLOWER STREET
24		SUITE 3700 LOS ANGELES, CA 90071
25		-AND- ANNA KAUFFMANN, ESQ.

.

1	STENOGRAPHICALLY REPORTED BY:
2	TRISH BAILEY-ENTIN, RPR, FPR
3	THE BRIDE ENTER, THE
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	

```
1
     (The following status hearing was held:)
 2
             THE COURT: Calling Case 1:23-MD-03076 in re: FTX
 3
     Cryptocurrency Exchange Collapse Litigation.
             Counsel, please state your name for the record,
 4
 5
     beginning with the plaintiff.
 6
             MR. BOIES: Good morning, Your Honor. May it please
 7
     the Court, David Boies of Boies Schiller & Flexner for the
 8
     plaintiffs. With me at counsel table is my partner, Stephen
 9
     Zack.
10
             MR. ZACK: Good morning.
11
             THE COURT: Good morning.
12
             MR. MOSKOWITZ: Good morning, Your Honor. Adam
     Moskowitz for The Moskowitz Law Firm. And with me here are my
13
     partners, Howard Bushman and Joseph Kaye.
14
15
             MS. FURST: Good morning, Your Honor. Rachel Furst of
16
     Maderal Byrne & Furst, and I'm here with my partner, John
17
     Byrne.
18
             THE COURT: Okay. And I know there are a number of
19
     other counsel for plaintiffs as well. Do they want to be
20
     recognized in the event that you want to speak? If you do,
     that's fine.
2.1
22
             If you don't -- for the defense.
23
             MR. GREENBERG: Good morning, Your Honor. Gerald
24
     Greenberg from Gelber Schachter & Greenberg on behalf of Sam
25
     Bankman-Fried.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

2.1

22

23

24

25

```
MR. KROEGER: Good morning, Your Honor. Thomas Kroeger
of Colson Hicks Eidson on behalf of Tom Brady, Gisele Bundchen,
Lawrence David, Golden State Warriors, Shaquille O'Neal, and
Naomi Osaka.
        MR. CARVER: Good morning, Your Honor. Christopher
Carver of Akerman LLP on behalf of Udonis Haslem and David
Ortiz.
        MS. BINA: Good morning, Your Honor. Jessica Stebbins
Bina of Latham Watkins on behalf of Shaquille O'Neal, Tom
Brady, Gisele Bundchen, and Larry David.
        MR. ZUTSHI: Good morning, Your Honor. Rishi Zutshi,
Cleary Gottlieb Steen Hamilton, on behalf of Sequoia Capital
and the investment --
        MR. DRYLEWSKY: Good morning, Judge Moore. Alex
Drylewsky from Skadden Arps on behalf of Paradigm Operations
LP.
        MR. PITTENGER: Todd Pittenger with GrayRobinson on
behalf of Paradigm Operations LP.
        MS. TERTERYAN: Good morning, Your Honor. Anna
Terteryan, Kirkland & Ellis, on behalf of defendant Thoma
Bravo.
        MS. KAUFFMANN: Good morning, Your Honor. Ana
Kauffmann on behalf of Thoma Bravo, local counsel, Berger
Singerman.
```

THE COURT: Anybody else?

All right. Well, thank you for all being here today. This is our first get-together for a status conference regarding this MDL litigation. And it's certainly appropriate to get the parties together and try to map out where we're going to go and how we're going to get there. There are a number of issues that I want to address. You also have been given an opportunity to submit any topics for discussion, and I have received them. I have reviewed them from all the parties that have submitted them.

I'm going to go -- I'm going to start with the plaintiffs' preliminary report, Docket Entry Number 40. So we'll hear from plaintiffs' counsel on that.

This morning I was provided with additional information regarding the plaintiffs', apparently, agreement as to plaintiffs' co-lead counsel, plaintiffs' steering committee, and plaintiffs' committees and chairpersons for various subtopics that will arise likely in the course of the litigation.

So that really did address at least one of the issues that we were going to have to work on and address. So good for plaintiffs' side of the -- of the court for addressing those issues and coming to some -- coming to an agreement on that.

But beyond that, I want to hear from plaintiffs' counsel on your preliminary report in advance of the status conference and what matters you want to take up.

Okay?

MR. BOIES: Thank you, Your Honor. May it please the Court, David Boies. As we indicated in our preliminary report, the first issue was to try and organize the plaintiffs, and we've accomplished that.

Second, we would like to discuss an initial schedule for the filing of an amended consolidated complaint or complaints as well as -- obviously, there will be responses to that; answers perhaps; perhaps motion to dismiss. We have some personal jurisdiction issues that we have been discussing with certain of the plaintiffs' counsel and certain of the defendants' counsel.

Given the MDL order, we think the personal jurisdiction issues will be resolved, either that the defendants will accept personal jurisdiction here or alternatively, to avoid a lengthy fight, we will simply file cases in their home states that would then be tagalong cases to this Court.

So one way or the other, we think that the personal jurisdiction issues will be resolved. But there will be, obviously, subsequent motions, and so what we would like to ask the Court to consider is setting a schedule for the filing of a consolidated amended complaint or complaints that will bring all of the cases before Your Honor in an organized way, and then a schedule for the defendants to respond to those.

I think one -- one issue for the Court is whether or

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

not discovery should be stayed pending notice of those filings. I know that different courts approach that issue differently, and we're just looking for guidance from the Court as whether the Court thinks it's appropriate in this MDL to stay discovery pending those motions and whether it would be the Court's will that we proceed with the discovery. I think those are sort of the key issues -- key initial issues that we think would be useful to talk about today. THE COURT: Okay. Well, that's helpful. And let's start there and make sure that we stick to each of these issues but -- and I know you have a number of other issues, but I don't want to get ahead of them, but let's just -- let me hear from defense counsel on the personal jurisdiction issue. And I don't know if any one of you want to speak on behalf of all of you. I know there are individual issues. if not, I'm prepared to hear from each of you if there is some agreement or disagreement or how best you want to proceed on that. MR. KROEGER: Good morning, Your Honor. Thomas Kroeger. I will be speaking on behalf of the sports and entertainment defendants for purposes of today's hearing. THE COURT: Okay. And I have got -- what do I have? -like three bundles of defendants here? Is that a fair characterization?

MR. KROEGER: With respect to the sports and

1 entertainment defendants or overall? THE COURT: Overall. 2 3 MR. KROEGER: There are, yes, several bundles of defendants. 4 THE COURT: Okay. That's going to come up later on. 5 6 But when you say the sports and entertainment, can you -- I 7 think I know what you mean --8 MR. KROEGER: Sure. 9 THE COURT: -- but can you tell me specifically --MR. KROEGER: I can specifically identify that would be 10 11 Tom Brady, Gisele Bundchen, Lawrence David, Golden State 12 Warriors, Shaquille O'Neal, Naomi Osaka, Stephen Curry, Udonis 13 Haslem, William Trevor Lawrence, Shohei Ohtani, Kevin O'Leary, David Ortiz, and the Solomid Corporation. 14 15 THE COURT: Okay. With respect to personal jurisdiction, can you go ahead and address those? 16 17 MR. KROEGER: Yes. With respect to personal 18 jurisdiction, those sports and entertainment defendants who had 19 previously filed motions with respect to personal jurisdiction 20 are essentially evaluating whether they would be refiling. 21 It's possible, based on representation made by 22 plaintiffs' counsel, that that could be worked out, either 23 agreements would be filed in the other jurisdictions and then 24 have them transferred back to your court here, but that is an 25 issue that some of the sports entertainment defendants would

```
1
     like to evaluate.
             THE COURT: Okay. So even within your group, there is
 2
 3
     a difference of opinion between the various defendants as to
     whether they would or would not --
 4
 5
             MR. KROEGER: It's not so much a difference of opinion.
 6
     It's that some defendants have pursued that previously before
 7
     the transfer. Obviously, some did not. Those that have
     previously pursued it would like to still reserve the ability
 8
 9
     to potentially proceed with that.
10
             THE COURT: Okay. So what kind of time frame are you
11
     on for resolving those issues?
12
             MR. KROEGER: I think there will need to be further
13
     discussions. I think that is something that could probably be
14
     amicably resolved fairly expeditiously.
15
             THE COURT: Okay. Can you quantify that?
16
             MR. KROEGER: I don't know. I suppose within 10 or
17
     14 days that could be resolved.
18
             THE COURT: Well, let's give you 14 days. Okay?
19
     within 14 days, you will resolve the personal jurisdiction
20
     issue by doing what? Either consenting and waiving or
2.1
     contesting?
22
             MR. KROEGER: Correct.
23
             THE COURT: So we'll know in 14 days whether you want
24
     to contest personal jurisdiction.
25
             MR. KROEGER: Correct.
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Okay. Are we all clear on that? Do we need any further discussion on that? Will that also include the question that was raised about where other cases would be filed? MR. KROEGER: I believe so. If those cases -- if -essentially the resolution is that those cases would have to be filed, say, for instance, in the Northern District of the California, then that's where those cases will be filed and then tagged along here. THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does that satisfy -does that answer your question? You have 14 days to -- they said they're going to resolve it and decide which way they want to go? MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor. We think that's an excellent way to approach it. THE COURT: Okay. Anybody else on that particular issue? MR. ZUTSHI: Yes, Your Honor. It's Rishi Zutshi from Cleary Gottlieb on behalf of Sequoia. At Your Honor's instruction, we've tried to coordinate among the other investor defendants; so I will do my best to speak for that group and let others chime in if necessary. We have not heard from plaintiffs' counsel about any proposals with respect to personal jurisdiction until Mr. Boies just now made those statements this morning. Our -- the

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
investor defendants are differently situated in that there
are -- many of them do intend to raise personal jurisdiction
defenses. None of those issues have been briefed yet.
        There's also some housekeeping issues including the
fact that one of the cases in which a large group of the
defendants -- the investor defendants have been named is the
O'Keefe case, which is currently pending before Judge Martinez
and is still subject to a pending motion to -- for an
interdistrict transfer.
        So I think from our perspective --
        THE COURT: What's that? You said the O'Keefe case?
        MR. ZUTSHI: The O'Keefe case. That's correct,
Your Honor.
        THE COURT: Well, we'll follow up on that. You want to
transfer it to the MDL?
        MR. ZUTSHI: We do. And plaintiffs and defendants in
that case have filed a joint motion to transfer, and also
because there's a response deadline this Friday in that case, I
think; so --
        THE COURT: So that's just -- that's pending before
Judge Martinez?
        MR. ZUTSHI: That's correct.
        THE COURT: All right. Well, I'll see if we can
address that. You say the response is due --
        MR. ZUTSHI: There's technically responses due in that
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

case this Friday. To the complaint, there's stipulation with plaintiffs' counsel. THE COURT: Okay. So my understanding is that we sent them the order. They signed it. It hasn't been docketed, so that frees up your weekend. MR. ZUTSHI: That will ease the minds of many of the defense counsel --THE COURT: Okay. MR. ZUTSHI: -- in that case. Thank you, Your Honor. In terms of personal jurisdiction issues, Mr. Boies' proposal is to consolidate the amended complaints -- complaint or complaints. It's one that we're happy to consider. I think we'll need some clarity as to whether those complaints will be filed purely as an administrative matter in this case or whether they will be filed in superseding complaints in individual actions. It is important to the investor defendants that we're able to preserve both our motions to dismiss on 12(b)(6) grounds, also personal jurisdiction issues where they're available. So we're happy to discuss that further with plaintiffs' 22 counsel and work cooperatively. I do think that we will need some clarity from plaintiffs' counsel in the first instance as to what their proposal is for the filing of consolidated amended complaints or a single complaint.

1 THE COURT: Can you live within that same time frame, 2 14 days? 3 MR. ZUTSHI: So if we have clarity -- if we have clarity from the plaintiffs -- I think we might need an initial 4 5 period, Your Honor, where we hear from plaintiffs what their 6 proposal is. We haven't heard whether they plan to file 7 amended complaints and what the new claims would be with 8 respect to our defendants yet. So we're operating right now on 9 a little bit of a -- in the dark, as it were. 10 And so I'm hesitant to commit to coming to an agreement 11 on how we will respond to complaints when we haven't heard how 12 much time it will be for them or what they'll encompass and 13 where they will be filed. 14 THE COURT: So do you want to be back here in 14 days to talk about that? 15 16 MR. ZUTSHI: Alternatively, if plaintiffs can let us 17 know what their timing is like for making a decision on that, 18 then we could peg a timeline off of that. 19 THE COURT: Okay. Well, this is the value of having us 20 here today; so can you answer their questions? 21 MR. BOIES: Your Honor, I think there are two issues 22 here. One is the personal jurisdiction issue, and one is the 23 consolidated amended complaint issue. 24 THE COURT: All right. 25 MR. BOIES: With respect to personal jurisdiction, we

ought to be able to solve that in 14 days because we're going to go one of two things. Either they're going to waive personal jurisdiction and be in front of this Court, or we're going to file in whatever jurisdiction they say that they are present in, and that will come to this Court as a tagalong case.

So I think all they have to do is tell us within a reasonable period of time which of those approaches they're going to take. And we can then immediately -- either if they waive, there's no issue. If they say, "We want you to file in our home district to resolve any personal jurisdiction issue," as we've talked about with the sports and entertainment defendants, in order to move this along and not burden the Court with unnecessary motion practice, we'll file those motions and those complaints, and those will be transferred here. So I think that can be done within the 14 days.

With respect to our consolidated amended complaint, as the Court is aware in every MDL, we need to file a consolidated complaint that brings all of the cases that have been transferred to this Court together.

And that is something that, as I said earlier, I would like to get the Court's guidance as to what an appropriate time frame would be. Something that perhaps a 45-day period of time might be an appropriate time we can do it. We want to do it consistent with whatever the Court's schedule is in terms of

1 that. THE COURT: Well, I'm in agreement that we -- it would 2 3 be preferable to have an amended consolidated complaint and to bring all of these actions together under one complaint. 4 5 would certainly help manage the litigation in its entirety, but 6 -- and you mentioned 45 days. Is that what you're moving for? 7 MR. BOIES: That's what we propose, Your Honor. Any objection to 45 days to file a 8 THE COURT: 9 consolidated amended complaint? 10 MR. KROEGER: The one thing, Your Honor, that we would 11 suggest is that given the difference in distinct factual legal claims with respect to these sports and entertainment 12 13 defendants, is that that be tracked through a different 14 complaint because a lot of the allegations that go to FTX, FTX employees, financial advisors, and accountants simply are not 15 16 present with respect to the sports and entertainment defendants. 17 18 So as we stated in our preliminary report, we are of 19 the view that it would actually promote efficiency and economy 20 if the celebrity and sports -- excuse me, the sports and 21 entertainment defendants are essentially on a separate track 22 through a different complaint. 23 THE COURT: Just for housekeeping purposes, when each

of you speak, can you identify yourself for the record by name

24

25

again.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KROEGER: Yes. THE COURT: You know, it's okay. I just have a court reporter who's in front of me, and she's trying to keep track of who is saying what, and I think it might be helpful to her to have you identify yourself by name each time you speak. Okay? MR. KROEGER: Yes, I apologize. Thomas Kroeger on behalf of the sports and entertainment defense. THE COURT: Okay. Well, so this gets to another issue, and that is the separate track of an issue that was raised. What is plaintiffs' response to that? MR. BOIES: Your Honor, there are great many overlapping issues. There are also some substantial individual issues. And I think that you could have separate, quote, "tracks," closed quote, or you could have a consolidated complaint. I don't think that's going to make a huge amount of difference in terms of how the Court is going to handle the cases because every proceeding is going to affect all of the so-called tracks. And I think that it's probably more efficient, in terms of management to have a single consolidated complaint with a count for the individual defendants, but I think it could be done the other way too. I think that, in terms of efficiency of management, you're going to want to have the overall issues.

The overall issues with respect to FTX are going to be the same whether it's a sports and entertainment defendant, whether it's an accounting firm, whether it's investors, whether a venture capital firm. All of those issues, which are going to be many, are going to be the same regardless of who the defendant is.

There's then going to be some significant issues that are going to be unique to the lawyers, to the venture capital firms, to the accountants, to each of the individual defendant groups. Those in one consolidated complaint would be handled as separate counts where you would allege in those counts the issues that were particular to those particular groups of defendants.

We think that's the most efficient way to do it, but we also, if the Court would prefer to do it another way -- we could have separate complaints. Those separate complaints would be much larger in terms of total pages to have to deal with because we would have to repeat the common allegations in each of the -- each of the complaints, but it's something that administratively we could do.

THE COURT: Do you want to respond to that?

MR. KROEGER: Yes, Your Honor. Thomas Kroeger on behalf of the sports and entertainment defendants.

It would perhaps be practical to do a single consolidated complaint so long as it is very clear and compartmentalizes what the allegations are as to the different

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

bundles, as Your Honor mentioned, of defendants. Otherwise, there's going to be a lot of issues that are simply not germane or relevant to these specific defendants. THE COURT: And what about the other defense counsel on this topic? MR. ZUTSHI: Rishi Zutshi. Your Honor, we're willing to evaluate a proposal either way, whether it's a single consolidated amended complaint or separate complaints. Sometimes the devil is in the details on these issues. don't have a view on either conceptually, but we do think it's going to be important, from our clients' perspective, that there's clarity as to -- as I said before, whether these are superseding complaints or administrative complaints. We do have very compelling motion to dismiss arguments that I referenced before that we would like an opportunity for you -- once -- once the consolidated complaints are on file, and we want to make sure that, as we believe they should, those dispose of the claims against our clients, against the investor defendants; that we have clarity and there's no procedural convolution when the cases are ultimately resolved in the MDL cases. So I think, as the parties have pointed THE COURT: out, there are some pros and cons each way. There are some

issues that are common to the overall case and to each of the

defendant groups, and there are some distinct issues as well to

each of those groups.

When I looked at this, my assessment was that it would help the Court to carve out each of the separate issues as to each of the defendant groups. So if it doesn't make a big difference to plaintiffs' counsel -- I'm not hearing that -- I think we're on the same wave length, that we can do it either way, but I think for the Court's benefit, it would be preferable to have separate complaints as to each of those groups, and I think that will pay some dividends in other respects.

That being said, I do think that the value of the MDL is that there are some common discovery issues that will apply to all defendants, but I don't really see the reason for burdening defendants in one group from participating in certain aspects of claims against other defendants in which they are not affected nor when it comes to filing a motion to dismiss, that I think it would help the Court focus particularly on the grounds for those motions as to that particular subgroup.

So with that being said, you have no problem with filing separate complaint as to -- what are we in? To three different buckets here?

MR. BOIES: None at all, Your Honor. I think there's probably -- we can talk with defendants' counsel about this, but I think there are probably about five or six different groups of defendants.

1 THE COURT: Okay. 2 There are the so-called sports and MR. BOIES: 3 entertainment or promoter defendants. There are the venture capital or the investor defendants. There are the insider 4 5 defendants. There are the accounting firm defendants. There 6 are the other professional advisor defendants that are probably 7 distinct from the accounting defendants, and then there are a 8 group of foreign, non-U.S. entities. 9 THE COURT: Okay. 10 MR. BOIES: And I suspect that the complaints -- if we 11 had filed separate complaints, we would probably have five, 12 possibly six separate complaints, unless there is a group of 13 defendants here that want to be separated out from those five 14 groups. But that would be what, I think, from the plaintiffs' 15 16 standpoint, we would look at in terms of breaking it up under the so-called bundles of defendants. 17 18 THE COURT: Okay. So you're comfortable, then, with 19 the five or six complaints? 20 MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor. 21 And you can do that within 45 days? THE COURT: 22 MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor. 23 THE COURT: Everybody on the defense side comfortable with that? 24 25 Hearing no objection, okay.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. KROEGER: Yes, Your Honor. Thomas Kroeger. would be comfortable with those complaints broken down along those lines. We would also ask to have, you know, 45 days to respond and renew, as we mentioned in our reports, our motions to dismiss. THE COURT: Any problem with that? MR. BOIES: No, Your Honor. THE COURT: So for scheduling purposes so far, we have 14 days to address and hopefully resolve the issue of personal jurisdiction, 45 days for the filing of amended complaints, and 45 days for the filing of responses to the amended complaints. MR. ZUTSHI: Your Honor, Rishi Zutshi. For the investor defendants, we -- and there's a number of different entities that are in that bucket, each with separate counsel, and I think for efficiency, we would like to coordinate as much as we can on a motion to dismiss when that comes. I think if we can get 60 days, that would facilitate us being able to coordinate better because of the number of parties involved. THE COURT: Do you have any to objection that? You can say yes. MR. BOIES: You know, I always hate to object to counsel's request for time, particularly this early in the process. What I would ask is that they try to do it within 45 days, and if they really need the additional 15 days, we

1 won't object to it. 2 But I would ask, if they could, we'd all try to get it 3 done within 45 days. That's going to be three months from now. THE COURT: Right. 4 MR. BOIES: So they've got three months from now to 5 6 coordinate. 7 MR. ZUTSHI: Your Honor, we will absolutely make efforts to do so. I'm just cognizant of the fact that there's 8 9 counsel for a few of the other investor defendants here at the table with me, but there are several folks, including folks who 10 11 are only named in O'Keefe and who weren't sure if they were 12 part of the MDL quite yet, and so I would just like a chance to consult with them. 13 14 THE COURT: Okay. 15 MR. ZUTSHI: We will -- we can absolutely commit to 16 making an effort to try to... 17 THE COURT: A couple points on that. First of all, I 18 don't think -- I don't anticipate there's going to be any big 19 surprises in their amended complaint that you're not already 20 aware of, so you know what's coming. 21 Secondly, I do agree that, you know, let's try with the 22 idea -- let's work towards 45 for the motion to dismiss. 23 your due diligence, and then if you need additional time, come 24 to me and ask me for additional time and show me why you were 25 not able to do it within the time that you were allotted. If I

```
1
     see good cause, then fine. But I want to see diligence in the
 2
     first instance. Okay?
 3
             And the other reason, I'm trying to keep it on track
     with the other parties to the other buckets, so we're all in
 4
 5
     the same -- we're all moving on the same track at least
 6
     timewise. Okay? And if there's going to be some slippage,
 7
     then so be it, but let's demonstrate diligence in the first
     instance.
 8
 9
             Okay. So 14, 45, and 45. Does that address the
     complaints and the personal jurisdiction issue?
10
11
             Okay. The next one that I have that you mentioned was
12
     staying discovery; right?
             MR. BOIES: Excuse me, Your Honor?
13
14
             THE COURT: The next issue that you raised was staying
     discovery?
15
16
             MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor.
17
             THE COURT: Okay. Did you want to say anything more on
18
     that?
19
             MR. BOIES: Our view, Your Honor, is that while we
20
     don't in a way prejudge the motions to dismiss that they're
21
     going to make, we think that the Court would find it unlikely
22
     that the complaints would be entirely dismissed. So we think
23
     that it would be appropriate for some discovery to start now.
24
             As the Court is aware in these kind of complex cases,
25
     you start serving document discovery, basic interrogatories,
```

parties make their initial disclosures, it takes a while before you're getting into depositions in any event.

So we think there's a lot of discovery that could go forward over the next three months awaiting on a decision on the motion to dismiss. Obviously, the Court is going to have to take some time after that three months to decide the motions to dismiss. So we think that during at that period of time it would be appropriate to start discovery.

On the other hand, we recognize that different courts take different approaches to staying discovery awaiting the motion to dismiss. We obviously want to accommodate whatever the Court's preference is on that.

THE COURT: All right. Any response?

MR. GREENBERG: Gerald Greenberg on behalf of Sam
Bankman-Fried. We certainly think that matters should be
stayed. I think we're probably -- and as far as the plaintiffs
are concerned too, we move -- well, initially moved for a stay
of all proceedings related to our client pending his criminal
case. So we think certainly at minimum it should be stayed
during this proceeding.

And our -- and our request was unopposed by plaintiffs with regard to the earlier complaint, so they may agree at this point.

But we would, with that in mind, support a stay certainly for the time being, and obviously, we will renew that

request once we see the amended complaint.

MR. BOIES: Your Honor, David Boies again. We agree that Mr. Sam Bankman-Fried is in a similar situation. And, indeed, we have been contacted by the U.S. Attorney's Office that's handling the criminal prosecution on Mr. Sam Bankman-Fried, and they have indicated that if we were to try to go ahead with discovery, they would come in and make, themselves, a motion to stay with respect to not the whole case but just with respect to the criminal defendant and the other people who have pled.

So we don't have any objection to that stay, but we don't think that stay needs to delay the commencement of discovery with respect to the other defendants.

Indeed, there's a great deal of information about Mr. Sam Bankman-Fried that both are going to come out of the criminal case and out of the bankruptcy proceeding. So there's a sense in which it's not our civil discovery but there's a sense in which discovery in the broadest generic sense is going on with respect to him at the present time. And we think that with respect to the other defendants, it would be appropriate to start discovery during the next several months.

THE COURT: Just with respect to Sam Fried, are you referring to all discovery with respect to him or just to depositions?

MR. BOIES: I think the U.S. Attorney's Office would

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

take the position regarding all discovery with respect to him. From our perspective, we think there would be no problem with him providing to us what is already being provided to the government and the like. But I think in fairness, if the U.S. Attorney's Office were here, they would be saying that they would like to have a stay of all discovery with respect to Mr. Sam Bankman-Fried. THE COURT: Well, I'll let you hash that out with the U.S. Attorney's Office. If you want to have that fight, you know, I'll be happy to referee it. But putting that defendant aside, what -- are there any other comments with regard to conducting discovery? MR. KROEGER: Yes, Your Honor. Thomas Kroeger. The sports and entertainment defendants continue to believe that a stay of merit discovery would be appropriate pending the resolution of motions to dismiss, but assuming that some discovery does go forward, it ought to be reasonably tailored. As Your Honor is familiar from the Garrison case, the plaintiffs attempted to set 14 depositions essentially within a span of approximately two weeks. We don't think that that kind of discovery would be warranted or appropriate while the motions to dismiss are pending. But our position is at its core that there simply should be a stay of ^ merit discovery until the Court rules on motions to dismiss. Perhaps those rulings could be conducted

1 in an expedited matter. 2 THE COURT: Anybody else? 3 MR. CARVER: Christopher Carver, Ackerman LLP, on behalf of Mr. Haslem and Mr. Ortiz. We have a very wide range 4 5 of defendants here. We have large institutions down to 6 individuals. In the Garrison case, the claims are novel. 7 claims were subjected to significant motions to dismiss, which 8 we thought were dispositive. 9 And particularly with respect to individual defendants, 10 allowing the type of broad discovery that plaintiffs attempted 11 is not appropriate, we submit, in this type of case because it 12 is now a massive case and there is no harm to plaintiffs 13 whatsoever in delaying discovery until the motions to dismiss 14 are decided. FTX is not an operating entity. Nothing is going 15 16 anywhere. Time doesn't hurt anyone. But forcing, 17 particularly, individual defendants to incur the expenses of 18 discovery in a case like this when the motions to dismiss, we 19 submit, are extremely well-founded, this falls into the 20 category of cases where the Eleventh Circuit has said that a 21 stay of discovery is quite appropriate. 22 MR. ZUTSHI: Your Honor, Rishi Zutshi again for 23 investor defendants. We also -- our position is that discovery

should be stayed. I think there's three points that I make in

that regard. First of all, we haven't seen new claims.

24

25

have seen claims that have been asserted so far against investor defendants. We think they fail as a matter of law on the pleadings. The basic theory with respect to the investor defendants is that they aided and abetted a fraud by FTX and its insiders, the result of which was that, by plaintiffs own admission, the tens or hundreds of millions of dollars that the investor defendants had invested, they lost. It just -- the underlying theory behind the allegations that have been made against our clients make no sense. They're implausible on their face.

In addition to that, there's no well plead facts in many of the elements of claims. I won't go through those issues. But we have very, very well-founded motions to dismiss. Those motions to dismiss will be dispositive of all claims against our clients; that setting aside personal jurisdiction issues, that's just with respect to our 12(b)(6) arguments.

We will, as we talked about before, endeavor to brief those issues as quickly as possible, and we're happy to have them resolve as quickly as the Court is able, but we think that's a key -- a key factor under this circuit's law to stay discovery.

The second factor that's unique here is what we heard about the U.S. Attorney's Office and the criminal prosecutions with respect Mr. Bankman-Fried. Mr. Boyd said earlier that

those overall issues are going to be the same in this case.

And I think the reference there is same issues that the panel found, which is the underlying allegations against our clients are all derivative of an alleged fraud by FTX and its insiders. If discovery into that fraud is being stayed in any respect, us proceeding with discovery in a piecemeal fashion that's on the outer bounds of the claims -- it doesn't go to the part of the issue as to what the scope of the fraud was, whether it was being kept from us, which, frankly, the SEC has alleged in its complaint against Mr. Bankman-Fried that -- I can quote this, that "The fraud was committed unbeknownst to those investors." The investors being referenced there include our clients here.

It would be incredibly prejudicial for us to have any sort of discovery proceeding in this case with respect to our clients when we're not able to access full discovery from the main players in the alleged fraud.

And finally with respect to prejudice, in addition to what counsel just said, Mr. Boies made reference to the fact that there is going to be effectively discovery available to the plaintiffs through the bankruptcy and the criminal proceedings. Again, that discovery is going to go to the heart of issues. That's going to go to the scope of the FTX fraud; so I don't think there's any prejudice to plaintiffs to wait.

They haven't yet filed an amended complaint. By the time we get through amended complaints and motions to dismiss,

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

there's a good chance that there have been significant advances in the criminal prosecutions. There's a trial set for October of this year, in March of 2024 for the two proceedings against Mr. Bankman-Fried. THE COURT: Anybody else for the defense? Any response? Two of you are standing. MR. MOSKOWITZ: Your Honor, may it please the Court, Adam Moskowitz. I would just like to give a couple points of reality in that we have been litigating these claims against these types of defendants for more than year. So what's happened? I mean, a lot of what they're saying is hypothetical or looking forward. We can look backwards. First of all, most of the celebrity defendants claim they have nothing. They couldn't give no discovery. One guy says, "I made a commercial and I have nothing else." So all of these original claims of they're going to be burdensome and it's going to be so overwhelming -- what they answered finally was, "We don't have much." So there's not much there to get. Second, now we have Magistrate Alicia Otazo, who is very much capable, as the magistrates have done in our other two cases. If there's an objection on burdensome, she can raise it, and we have done that. We have done that with

Magistrate Reid in her Voyager case, and we've handled any objection raised by the defendants.

The third point, Your Honor, is there's still factual differences, even on the most basic points, that they're going to raise to dismiss this case. For example, they've been saying from the beginning, "There's no connection to Miami. There's simply no connection to Miami." Well, Your Honor, read our 327-page amended complaint. And it has a declaration of Dan Friedberg, who's the chief compliance officer at FTX. He goes through six or seven pages of detail of why the Miami office was so important.

If you look at the celebrity status report that they gave you last week in Footnote 3, it summarizes that to say all plaintiffs have said is there could be one employee that didn't work for FTX that may have resided in Florida. So we're kind of speaking, you know, in Alice in Wonderland. Like we'll say one thing; they'll say one thing.

So there's a real difference here in terms of the facts for the motions to dismiss that they're going to file, and that's why the discovery is so important because if we didn't get that discovery, we would still be under the impression there's no connection to Miami, there may be no MDL to Miami, we wouldn't have learned any of these facts.

So that's why we think reasonable discovery is proper from the beginning, like Judge Altman found. And if there's an

1 objection, we have a magistrate in place who can certainly hear 2 the objection and rule on it. 3 Thank you, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. Well, I would normally -- and this 4 5 is not a normal case, but normally I am disinclined to stay 6 discovery during the motion stage, but I recognize that there 7 may be circumstances here that might warrant it. 8 But let me ask you this: This issue has not been 9 briefed, has it? 10 MR. MOSKOWITZ: Not in the FTX case. In the Binance 11 case, there was a motion to stay discovery, and Judge Altman 12 peeked at the merits and said, "I peek at the merits. This 13 case isn't going away. I'm going to not allow a blanket stay." MR. CARVER: Your Honor, Christopher Carver, Ackerman 14 15 LLP. In the Garrison case, we filed a motion to stay 16 discovery. That basically was punted when the case was transferred to the MDL. It has not -- it was not fully 17 18 briefed, but our motion is on file. 19 Let me -- let me correct that. With respect to 20 jurisdictional discovery, plaintiffs opposed it, but respect to 21 merit discovery, the plaintiffs did not oppose it. They filed 22 a very brief response. The Court did not rule on that. 23 sucked to the side as a result of the transfer to the MDL. 24 THE COURT: Right. 25 MR. CARVER: But we have -- we have laid out why in our

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

-- in the Garrison case, which is the sports -- now the sports and entertainer defendants, discovery should be stayed. THE COURT: Okay. Can we get a -- can we tee this up Get a motion to stay discovery? MR. CARVER: On behalf of the sports and entertainment defendants, absolutely. THE COURT: Anybody else who wants to stay discovery too? I want to -- I want to brief the issue. MR. CARVER: Okay. Your Honor, the question is when for the sports and entertainer defendants? I think we can do it within 21 days because we have done it, but I don't know about the other defendants. MR. ZUTSHI: Your Honor, we are differently situated. There's no attempts at discovery. Many of the defendants in this group haven't even been served in these claims. We've heard it. We don't know what the -- what the amended complaints are going to look like, and we have not had any discussions with plaintiffs' counsel about discovery before this conference. So I think it may make sense, with Your Honor's permission, for us to see if we can -- if we can further those issues through discussion among the parties in the first instance, and see if there's any resolution that can be reached. I just -- I think that it would be unusual, in my experience, to brief a stay of discovery before we've seen an

amended complaint.

THE COURT: I agree with that. So you are going to file -- at least with the sports, you are going to file a motion to stay discovery.

MR. CARVER: If that's what Your Honor wants, absolutely.

THE COURT: Yeah. I would like to brief this because I think there are some pros and cons to this issue that I don't want to rule on from the bench. And the fact that at least some of the defendants have not been served with a complaint or amended complaint I think weighs in favor of staying discovery at least until such time as it -- you go ahead and push the issue by moving for discovery. Okay? So take your best shot and see how they respond, but it may be a little premature to try to pursue discovery at this point. It may come up again soon, and we can take it up.

As an aside, there was something that I was going to talk about at some point, but I might as well do it now. So we're on our third magistrate judge; right? And this just happens to be a magistrate judge who is also going to be completing her service as a magistrate judge at the end of November. Okay? So she's retiring from service.

It is not uncommon, I don't think, in these kind of cases, given the complexity of the case and the time that we can expect to devote to it, to consider the appointment of a

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

special master. And I would like to discuss that with the parties and get their thoughts on whether they would think that is appropriate or inappropriate, necessary, helpful, unhelpful under Rule 53 and what your thoughts are. MR. BOIES: Your Honor, we would certainly be amenable to a special master if the Court thinks that's desirable. certainly been in MDLs where there have been special masters and not. If the magistrate is going to be retiring in November, depending on the decisions of the motions to dismiss, that's going to be shortly after we really begin to get into the heat of discovery, it may be useful to have a special I don't know whether the Court would intend to appoint master. a magistrate thereafter or not. And exactly how you divide the roles between the special master and the magistrate is obviously something we would entirely defer to the Court on. THE COURT: Well, look at -- you've probably been on more of these than I have, so you have seen the pros and the And my guess is the success very much depends on who the person is. MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor. So I fully anticipate keeping the motion to THE COURT: dismiss. I'm not going to refer that out for a court recommendation. It's a dispositive motion, and I keep them as a matter of practice. The discovery issues -- I think they're going to be --

there's going to be a lot of them, and they're going to be very disparate depending on the particular party. It may be a little premature, but I see it on the horizon, and I just don't like the idea personally of trying to get one magistrate up to speed on these issues and then -- what are we now? We're at the end of June? -- five months later, say, you know, it's all going to be turned over to some other magistrate judge and it just does not seem to be particularly helpful.

So my thought would be unless there's some discrete issue that the currently assigned magistrate judge can handle between now and when she leaves office, that I would, whoever is going to succeed her, start using that judicial officer for whatever help they can provide.

And for all I know, I mean, Judge Otazo Reyes could decide next week or the week after that for whatever reason, she wants to recuse. I'm not assuming that. I'm assuming she's going to be doing her judicial work until the end of November.

But that being said -- and that's only one consideration. Whether she was going to be here for another eight years, I would still be looking at this issue of the value that a good special master might provide to all the parties. And I'm willing to entertain, when I look at the rule, each side has an opportunity to submit a name or names that you would want the Court to consider. I'm happy to

consider that. There are some conditions that have to be satisfied in order to appoint a special master.

If you don't want to submit names, I -- believe it or not, I have somebody that -- that you might want to consider, maybe more than one person but -- and you might not want to. You might be opposed to that individual, but I wouldn't make a suggestion if I didn't have full faith and confidence that the individual had the requisite skill and abilities that would be helpful in moving litigation forward.

So from the other side, did I get any input on that point?

MR. KROEGER: Yes, Your Honor. Thomas Kroeger. From the perspective of the sports and entertainment defendants, we think that the appointment of a special master might be a bit premature at this point, especially given what we believe are very compelling motions to dismiss.

The scope of the claims is likely to be substantially winnowed or narrowed as we proceed through that phase. Given the current timeline we have been discussing, we're already essentially three months out by the time the Court will start to consider and rule on those motions. And we believe it might be more appropriate to consider the appointment of a special master once the Court has considered and ruled on those motions and we're at that juncture where the merits of discovery is likely to heat up.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: I think that's a good point. So we'll hold off on that, but that kind of -- the reason it was raised, it was kind of leading into the issue of discovery, and so we're going to get that issue on discovery pending the motion to We'll take up that issue and give you a ruling on that, and we'll decide whether we're going to have no discovery, some discovery, or discovery once we have that issue briefed. Okay. So you had three issues to begin with: The personal jurisdiction, the complaint, and the discovery. What next. MR. BOIES: Those were -- those were our primary issues, Your Honor. This is David Boies again. We do think that it would be helpful, in addition to the plaintiffs' organization, if we had defendants' liaison counsel. We could focus on -- I think the steps that we have taken in terms of saying we're going to have these groups, bundles of defendants is a good first step. And if each of those bundles have liaison counsel we could deal with on some of these issues, I think that would be helpful. And the MDL rules suggest that that's something for the Court to consider, and we think that would be helpful. THE COURT: Okay. Who wants to --MR. KROEGER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Kroeger.

We would similarly suggest that a liaison counsel might be a

premature selection at this point, just depending on how the motions to dismiss are resolved, in terms of what defendants actually end up remaining in the MDL.

So in theory it's probably wise, but we think that the actual appointment or selection of one at this juncture again might be a bit premature.

MS. BINA: Your Honor, Jessica Stebbins Bina on behalf of defendants Brady, Bundchen, O'Neal, and David.

Your Honor has already proposed separate groups of defendants, separate complaints. I think it would make sense to consider any liaison counsel on a defendant group by defendant-group basis at minimum, and it seems potentially unnecessary to do so formally. Most MDLs don't have a formalized defense liaison counsel.

I suggest we first see the amended complaints, see the groupings, and then meet and confer with plaintiffs on this issue as to whether it is actually necessary or helpful in this instance.

THE COURT: Do you know how to get in touch with them?

MR. BOIES: Your Honor, I would disagree that most MDLs

do not have defense liaisons. Most MDLs -- anything like this

size and complexity do have defense liaison counsel. I do

agree with defense counsel that it makes sense to have liaison

counsel, at least initially, by the defendant groups, but I

don't think -- I don't think this is premature because we're

going to be dealing with them on all sorts of scheduling issues over the next three months.

Just look at the number of lawyers in this room.

Trying to get all of them, you know, herded together to even get a meet and confer, for example, on some of the things that we need to meet and confer on today, I think it would be extremely challenging.

So I think that in the interim -- and maybe they're just interim liaison counsel, but we do need somebody who is going to be the contact so we're not dealing with literally dozens of different lawyers or law firms.

MR. ZUTSHI: Your Honor, Rishi Zutshi again. I will say that we've done a lot of work to try and coordinate so far among the investor defendants and also some of the other defendants. So while I appreciate Mr. Boies' concerns, I think we've been working so far with those plaintiffs' counsel whom have been in touch with us and to coordinate well, and that this is an issue that we can take up informally in the first instance. And if it presents an issue later on, as counsel here at this table have suggested, we could revisit it whenever we're next before Your Honor.

THE COURT: Are you comfortable with that for the time being?

Are you comfortable with that for the time being?

MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor. Let us talk to them and

see if we can work this out.

1

2 THE COURT: Okay. 3 I do think that we're going to need liaison MR. BOIES: counsel. I think it's just -- it's going to be morass if we 4 5 have to try and deal with individually all the lawyers on the 6 other side. But let us try to work it out and see if we can 7 come back with a proposal to the Court. 8 THE COURT: Yeah. And I think just for the sake of 9 collegiality and professionalism and civility that this would 10 be a good example of your ability to work with one another and 11 not make it any more difficult than it needs to be. 12 By the way, just as a minor point, I know getting 13 together sometimes may be difficult and arranging where and 14 time, we do have a lawyer's conference room on the 14th floor of this building that is available and accessible to you if you 15 16 need a neutral site, and I invite you to avail yourselves of 17 it. It's there for that purpose. It's a little larger room, 18 than trying to huddle in the hallway or out in the foyer. 19 just be aware of it. If you want to take advantage of it, by 20 all means. 21 Okay. So we kind of punted on the defense liaison 22 counsel for the time being, but we can revisit that issue if it 23 proves to be unworkable informally. Okay? 24 What's your next issue? 25 MR. BOIES: That completes the issues that we had,

1 Your Honor. 2 THE COURT: Okay. For the defense? 3 MR. GREENBERG: Your Honor, Gerald Greenberg on behalf of Mr. Bankman-Fried. I don't think we have anything in 4 5 addition to what's already been discussed. 6 THE COURT: Can you just tell me, it would be helpful 7 to me, the timeline of the criminal matter, if you can share. 8 I don't want you to tell me anything that I'm not supposed to 9 know. 10 MR. GREENBERG: Sure, Your Honor. There are -- the 11 criminal case in the Southern District of New York currently 12 has two trial dates because certain counsel were separated out 13 based on defense motions relating to some of the international 14 component of the case. The current dates are October of 2023 and March 15 16 of 2024. I have been advised by the counsel handling those 17 that those, at least, you know, the best anyone can say right 18 now, seem like real dates. And each one could go -- and again, 19 this is speculation at this point -- in the five- to seven-week So if that sticks, it would end things in, you know, 20 range. 21 not by this time next year, but, you know, spring of 2024. 22 But, again, that's -- as always in the case of this nature, 23 that's somewhat speculative. 24 THE COURT: Right. 25 Okay. Thank you.

1 MR. GREENBERG: Thank you. 2 MR. KROEGER: Thank you, Your Honor. Thomas Kroeger. 3 Just two points. First on clarification, in terms of the motion to stay discovery, I just want to clarify the timing of 4 5 when Your Honor would like to receive that. In theory, that 6 would follow the filing of the amended complaints. But if 7 Your Honor wants to tee it up somewhat differently in terms of 8 scheduling, that can certainly be done. I just want to 9 clarify. 10 THE COURT: Well, what I'm envisioning is that there 11 has been no demand for discovery that's been put on you at this 12 point; right? 13 MR. KROEGER: Correct. 14 THE COURT: So --15 MR. BOIES: We're not sure. 16 MR. CARVER: Your Honor, actually, we're in kind of a 17 weird limbo. There were discovery requests served. There were 18 deposition notices served that were objected to. Then because 19 the case got transferred in MDL and everything was stayed, our 20 position is that the discovery was stayed also until the Court 21 said it wasn't, and we had our motion to stay discovery which 22 had been briefed, but the Court hadn't decided when it was transferred to the MDL. So there's discovery kind of out 23 there, but it's in limbo. 24 25 THE COURT: So are you saying that there's an

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

outstanding motion that's ripe that has not been ruled on? MR. CARVER: Correct, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. So can I deny that as moot and subject to whatever future renewed discovery requests that plaintiffs' counsel may make? MR. CARVER: Your Honor, I would never tell a judge he couldn't do something. Certainly, it would seem, since we do not have an operative complaint at this time, that motion should be denied as moot as were virtually every motion that was pending in Garrison. In fact, I think that might be the only one outstanding. THE COURT: Any problem with that? MR. BOIES: Your Honor, I have one problem with that, and that is he says there's no operative complaint. It is true that we're going to file a consolidated amended complaint, which is typical in MDL practice, but there are operative complaints out there. All of the defendants know basically what these claims are. They've had them for a while. them have even made motions against them. This is not a situation which there's no operative complaint with respect to which we can take discovery. We've actually served some discovery already. I think it would be appropriate that if they're going to take the position that no discovery ought to take place

until the motions to dismiss are decided, that they should make

those motions now. We should brief them, and the Court can decide them. We think if the Court simply takes a peek, to use another judge's view -- words, at the complaint, the Court can make a judgment as to whether this is an appropriate case to go forward on discovery or not. I think they ought to make those motions now.

Now, if -- that's a different question, as to whether discovery that we're seeking is a burden. That is something that would go to a special master, to a magistrate, however it works out in this case. But in terms of an absolute bar on any discovery at this point, I think they ought to make their motions now, we ought to brief them, and allow the Court to decide.

THE COURT: All right. I want to make sure my docket is cleaned up. I mean, you're saying that there's a motion outstanding that I have not ruled on?

MR. BOIES: I have no problem with that being denied.

And under the circumstances, they will make a new motion, we'll brief it, and the Court can then decide on that motion.

THE COURT: Are you okay with that?

MR. CARVER: Yes, Your Honor. My only question is when would the Court want the new motion. Right now we've got to -- we do have an operative complaint, but we have a complaint that we know is going to be superseded, which is going to be filed in 45 days. It makes sense since everyone in this room knows

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
that the current pleadings across the board are not the
pleadings, that once plaintiffs file their effective
consolidated complaint, that -- then we can file our motion to
stay discovery within 21 days of that date, and nothing is
harmed by cleaning up the procedural limbo that we're in right
now and waiting 21 days after plaintiffs file their
consolidated amended complaint, to file a motion to stay
discovery. And by that time, all of the defendants on this
side of the table, speaking for -- to the right of me -- they
will know what their allegations are and whether or not they
seek to stay discovery. And that's, frankly, I think, the
cleanest way to do this.
        THE COURT: Okay. Well, we got them to agree to -- I
grant your motion.
        MR. CARVER: I think he said denied, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: To deny the motion to -- it's your motion.
        MR. CARVER: My motion to stay discovery, to deny is as
moot. He agrees to that.
        THE COURT: Okay. Denied as moot. Okay. So we'll do
that. And then where are we? Are we waiting until -- are you
going to -- are you going to file new motions for discovery, or
are you going to -- and are they going to be before you file an
amended complaint or after?
        MR. CARVER: Your Honor, that is -- that's at the
Court's determination. If the Court wants a motion to stay
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
discovery now, we can do it, but I think it makes sense to file
it once we see the consolidated amended complaint. And the
defendants along this side of table and the ones that aren't
here are going to need to see that consolidated amended
complaint.
                    So you want them to file their motion?
        THE COURT:
        MR. BOIES:
                    We want them to file the motion now so we
can respond.
        THE COURT:
                    Okay. So go ahead and file your motion.
        MR. CARVER: Twenty one days from today, Your Honor.
        THE COURT:
                    Is that okay?
        MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor.
        THE COURT: All right. Okay.
        MR. ZUTSHI: I just -- and I want to -- this is Rishi
        I want to clarify that that deadline applies with
respect to the sports and entertainer defendants who had a
motion previously briefed and who apparently had engaged in
some discovery practice before. The investor defendants had
not been subject to any discovery request yet, so --
        THE COURT:
                    There's nothing for you to complain about.
        MR. ZUTSHI: Yes.
        MR. BOIES: Your Honor, we would certainly give them a
discovery request. So if they want to stay discovery, I think
they ought to make the motion now. If all they want to do is
object to some of our discovery as burdensome, that's a
```

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

separate issue, and that would go to a magistrate but --THE COURT: Right now they don't have anything to object to because they --MR. BOIES: We will give them that, Your Honor. THE COURT: There you go. Okay. MR. CARVER: Your Honor, not to complicate things, but if plaintiffs are going to serve discovery on defendants that haven't had discovery and that's going to cause those defendants to file a motion to stay discovery, which I think everyone in this room understands, it might be easier for the Court to have those motions on the same schedule. It's up to Your honor. I'm raising the issue. I'm happy to file within 21 days or 21 days from whatever date plaintiffs and the other defendants decide is an appropriate time. I just -- I'm trying to help. THE COURT: Complicate the issues. But go ahead and file yours, and we'll take them up as they're filed. MR. CARVER: Okay. Your Honor, we do have another issue on the sports and entertainer defendants. THE COURT: All right. MR. CARVER: Mr. Bankman-Fried's preliminary report referenced arbitration and class waiver provisions that the preliminary reports says every plaintiff is bound by. sports and entertainer defendants don't have copies of those documents. That's going to be an issue in this case when we

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

finally get access to those documents. And I just wanted to flag that as they relate in Item 6 in the Court's order of things to talk about was related issues that should be brought to the attention of the Court. That's one of them. THE COURT: Okay. All right. What else? I got all day for you. MR. BOIES: Nothing for the plaintiffs, Your Honor. THE COURT: Okay. MR. ZUTSHI: Rishi -- there's nothing further from us. Just except for one housekeeping issue, Your Honor. I know that the order contemplated, if necessary, follow-up conference next week. It appears we are making progress today. We obviously have a lot of issues to discuss with plaintiffs' counsel and among ourselves, but -- and is the Court needing us to resume this next week? THE COURT: So at that time, I was not anticipating the resolution of the lead plaintiffs' counsel. I thought that was going to be an issue that would require some follow-up. issue appears to be moot. And by the way, I will incorporate that as part of the order that's entered following today's hearing. But I'm certainly available if there are other issues. I don't want to inconvenience anybody unnecessarily. I know many of you are out of town and have logistical issues, and I don't want to burden you with that. Don't expect a lot of

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

```
Zooms, by the way. But I am available if anybody sees an issue
now that would make another status conference necessary next
week. If not, I don't need to take up your time unnecessarily.
Does anybody see a need at this time?
        MR. BOIES: May I have just a moment, Your Honor?
        THE COURT:
                    Yes.
        MR. BOIES: Your Honor, I don't think either plaintiffs
or defendants think it would be necessary next week to have
another status conference.
        THE COURT: All right. So that's canceled. But if it
changes, if some of the informal discussions resolve some of
these issues or if they don't and you think you need some
judicial intervention, just alert us to that, move for it, and
we'll take it up.
        Fair enough?
        MR. BOIES: Yes, Your Honor.
        Could I just inquire, if we conclude that we would like
to have a status conference, what is the way for us to approach
the Court on that? Is it a letter?
        THE COURT: Not a letter. You told me you were
familiar with the local rules when you admitted pro hac vice.
And that's prohibited.
        And I don't prefer that you call chambers.
                                                    I like to
have a record, and it should be on the docket, a CM/ECF number,
that the parties jointly request a status conference or that
```

```
1
     you agreed on or that one of you does and the other doesn't, in
2
     which case I'll -- I'll make a decision whether we need to have
 3
     a hearing on it.
 4
             MR. BOIES: Thank you, Your Honor.
 5
             THE COURT: I like to stick to the record.
 6
             MR. BOIES: Thank you, Your Honor.
 7
             THE COURT: Okay. Anybody? Everybody has been heard?
8
     If nothing else, then thank you for coming in. Good to see
9
     you-all, and we will be in recess.
10
           ( The status conference was concluded at 11:12 a.m.)
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```

C E R T I F I C A T EI, TRISH BAILEY-ENTIN, Stenographic Reporter, State of Florida at Large, certify that I was authorized to and did stenographically report the foregoing proceedings and that the transcript is a true and complete record of my stenographic notes. Dated this 21st day of June 2023. TRISH BAILEY-ENTIN, RPR, FPR 

1	4	address [7] - 6:6,	22:10, 22:11, 23:19,	28:10
	7	6:19, 6:20, 9:16,	26:1, 30:24, 30:25,	attempts [1] - 34:14
<b>1</b> [2] <b>-</b> 1:9, 2:2	<b>40</b> [1] - 6:11	12:23, 22:9, 24:9	32:8, 34:16, 35:1,	attention [1] - 50:4
<b>10</b> [1] - 10:16	<b>45</b> [12] - 16:6, 16:8,	addressing [1] - 6:21	35:11, 40:15, 44:6,	Attorney's [5] - 26:4,
<b>100</b> [1] - 1:15	21:21, 22:3, 22:10,	administrative [2] -	45:15, 47:7, 47:23,	26:25, 27:5, 27:9,
<b>10001</b> [1] - 2:19	22:11, 22:25, 23:3,	13:14, 19:13	48:2, 48:4	29:24
<b>10006</b> [1] - 2:15	23:22, 24:9, 46:25	administratively [1] -	amicably [1] - 10:14	authorized [1] - 53:5
<b>10250</b> [1] - 2:11	<b>45-day</b> [1] - 15:23	18:19	amount [1] - 17:17	avail [1] - 42:16
<b>10:00</b> [1] - 1:7		<b>admission</b> [1] - 29:6	<b>Ana</b> [1] - 5:22	available [5] - 13:20,
<b>1100</b> [2] - 1:23, 2:11	5	admitted [1] - 51:21	<b>AND</b> [5] - 2:5, 2:7,	30:19, 42:15, 50:22,
<b>11:12</b> [2] <b>-</b> 1:7, 52:10		advance [1] - 6:24	2:11, 2:19, 2:25	51:1
<b>12(b)(6</b> [2] - 13:18,	<b>51</b> [1] - 1:9	advances [1] - 31:1	<b>ANGELES</b> [2] - 2:12,	<b>AVE</b> [1] - 2:2
29:16	<b>53</b> [1] - 36:4	advantage [1] - 42:19	2:24	avoid [1] - 7:15
<b>14</b> [12] <b>-</b> 10:17, 10:18,	<b>555</b> [1] <b>-</b> 2:23	advised [1] - 43:16	<b>Anna</b> [1] - 5:19	awaiting [2] - 25:4,
10:19, 10:23, 11:11,		advisor [1] - 21:6	<b>ANNA</b> [2] - 2:22, 2:25	25:10
14:2, 14:14, 15:1,	6	advisors [1] - 16:15	answer [2] - 11:11,	aware [4] - 15:18,
15:16, 22:9, 24:9,	<b>6</b> [1] - 50:2	affect [1] - 17:19	14:20	23:20, 24:24, 42:19
27:19	<b>60</b> [1] - 22:17	affected [1] - 20:16	answered [1] - 31:20	
<b>1400</b> [1] - 2:21	00 [i] - 22. i /	<b>agree</b> [6] - 23:21,	answers [1] - 7:9	В
<b>14th</b> [1] - 42:14	9	25:22, 26:2, 35:2,	anticipate [2] - 23:18, 36:21	backwards [1] - 31:14
<b>15</b> [1] - 22:25	3	40:23, 47:13		<b>BAILEY</b> [3] - 3:2, 53:4,
<b>1800</b> [1] - 2:8	90067 [1] - 2:12	agreed [1] - 52:1	anticipating [1] - 50:16	53:15
1:23-MD-03076 [1] -	<b>90071</b> [1] - 2:24	agreement [5] - 6:14,	apologize [1] - 17:7	BAILEY-ENTIN [3] -
4:2		6:22, 8:17, 14:10, 16:2	APPEARANCES [1] -	3:2, 53:4, 53:15
2	Α	agreements [1] - 9:23	1:12	Bankman [11] - 4:25,
2		agrees [1] - 47:18	applies [1] - 48:15	25:15, 26:3, 26:6,
<b>201</b> [1] - 2:7	<b>a.m</b> [1] <b>-</b> 52:10	ahead [6] - 8:12, 9:16,	apply [1] - 20:12	26:15, 27:7, 29:25,
<b>202</b> [1] - 1:19	abetted [1] - 29:4	26:7, 35:12, 48:9,	appoint [2] - 36:12,	30:10, 31:4, 43:4,
<b>2022</b> [1] - 1:6	<b>abilities</b> [1] - 38:8	49:16	38:2	49:21
<b>2023</b> [2] - 43:15, 53:10	<b>ability</b> [2] - 10:8, 42:10	aided [1] - 29:4	appointment [4] -	BANKMAN [1] - 2:1
<b>2024</b> [3] - 31:3, 43:16,	<b>able</b> [6] - 13:18, 15:1,	<b>AKERMAN</b> [1] - 2:7	35:25, 38:14, 38:22,	Bankman-Fried [10] -
43:21	22:18, 23:25, 29:20,	<b>Akerman</b> [1] - 5:6	40:5	4:25, 25:15, 26:3,
<b>21</b> [6] - 1:6, 34:11,	30:15	alert [1] - 51:13	appreciate [1] - 41:15	26:6, 26:15, 27:7,
47:4, 47:6, 49:13	absolute [1] - 46:10	Alex [1] - 5:14	approach [3] - 8:2,	29:25, 30:10, 31:4,
21st [1] - 53:10	absolutely [4] - 23:7,	ALEXANDER [1] -	11:15, 51:18	43:4
22-20230-CR-DMM [1]	23:15, 34:6, 35:6	2:17	approaches [2] - 15:8,	BANKMAN-FRIED [1]
- 1:3	accept [1] - 7:14 access [2] - 30:15,	<b>ALHAMBRA</b> [1] - 2:4	25:10	- 2:1
<b>255</b> [1] - 2:4	50:1	Alice [1] - 32:16	appropriate [16] - 6:3,	Bankman-Fried's [1] -
<b>2600</b> [1] <b>-</b> 2:2	accessible [1] - 42:15	<b>Alicia</b> [1] - 31:22	8:4, 15:22, 15:24,	49:21
<b>2800</b> [2] - 1:15, 1:22	accommodate [1] -	allegations [6] -	24:23, 25:8, 26:20,	bankruptcy [2] - 26:16, 30:20
<b>2nd</b> [1] - 1:15	25:11	16:14, 18:17, 18:25,	27:15, 27:21, 28:11,	<b>bar</b> [1] - 46:10
	accomplished [1] -	29:8, 30:3, 47:10	28:21, 36:3, 38:22, 45:23, 46:4, 49:14	<b>based</b> [2] - 9:21, 43:13
3	7:5	allege [1] - 18:10	arbitration [1] - 49:22	basic [3] - 24:25, 29:3,
<b>3</b> [1] - 32:13	accountants [2] -	alleged [3] - 30:4,	arguments [2] - 19:14,	32:4
<b>301</b> [1] - 2:21	16:15, 18:8	30:9, 30:16	29:17	basis [1] - 40:12
<b>3250</b> [1] - 1:19	accounting [3] - 18:3,	allow(0) 23:25	arise [1] - 6:17	<b>BEFORE</b> [1] - 1:10
<b>327-page</b> [1] - 32:8	21:5, 21:7	<b>allow</b> [2] - 33:13,	Arps [1] - 5:15	<b>begin</b> [2] - 36:10, 39:9
<b>32801</b> [1] - 2:21	Ackerman [2] - 28:3,	46:12 <b>allowing</b> [1] - 28:10	ARPS [1] - 2:18	beginning [3] - 4:5,
<b>33131</b> [2] - 1:16, 2:2	33:14	alternatively [2] -	arranging [1] - 42:13	32:6, 32:25
<b>33133</b> [1] - 1:20	actions [2] - 13:16,	7:15, 14:16	aside [3] - 27:11,	<b>BEHALF</b> [7] - 2:1, 2:3,
<b>33134</b> [1] - 2:5	16:4	Altman [2] - 32:25,	29:15, 35:17	2:6, 2:10, 2:13, 2:17,
<b>33134-6933</b> [1] - 1:23	actual [1] - 40:5	33:11	aspects [1] - 20:15	2:22
<b>33301</b> [1] - 2:8	<b>ADAM</b> [1] - 1:17	<b>AM</b> [1] - 1:7	asserted [1] - 29:1	behalf [19] - 4:24, 5:2,
<b>3700</b> [1] - 2:24	Adam [2] - 4:12, 31:9	amenable [1] - 36:5	assessment [1] - 20:2	5:6, 5:9, 5:12, 5:15,
<b>3RD</b> [1] - 2:2	addition [4] - 29:11,	amended [27] - 7:7,	assigned [1] - 37:10	5:18, 5:20, 5:23,
	30:17, 39:14, 43:5	7:22, 13:11, 13:25,	assuming [3] - 27:16,	8:15, 8:20, 11:19,
	additional [4] - 6:13,	14:7, 14:23, 15:17,	37:16	17:8, 18:22, 25:14,
	22:25, 23:23, 23:24	16:3, 16:9, 19:8,	attempted [2] - 27:19,	28:4, 34:5, 40:7,

CASE [1] - 1:3

Case [1] - 4:2

43:3 behind [1] - 29:8 bench [1] - 35:9 benefit [1] - 20:7 Berger [1] - 5:23 best [4] - 8:17, 11:21, 35:13, 43:17 better [1] - 22:18 between [3] - 10:3, 36:14, 37:11 beyond [1] - 6:23 big [2] - 20:4, 23:18 BINA [3] - 2:10, 5:8, 40:7 Bina [2] - 5:9, 40:7 Binance [1] - 33:10 bit [3] - 14:9, 38:14, 40:6 blanket [1] - 33:13 board [1] - 47:1 boies [1] - 11:24 Boies [6] - 4:7, 7:3, 26:2, 30:18, 39:13 **BOIES** [42] - 1:13, 1:14, 4:6, 7:2, 11:14, 14:21, 14:25, 16:7, 17:12, 20:22, 21:2, 21:10, 21:20, 21:22, 22:7, 22:22, 23:5, 24:13, 24:16, 24:19, 26:2, 26:25, 36:5, 36:20, 39:12, 40:20, 41:25, 42:3, 42:25, 44:15, 45:13, 46:17, 48:7, 48:12, 48:22, 49:4, 50:7, 51:5, 51:7, 51:16, 52:4, 52:6 boies' [2] - 13:10, 41:15 BOULEVARD [3] -1:22, 2:7, 2:11 bound [1] - 49:23 bounds [1] - 30:7 Boyd [1] - 29:25 BRADY [2] - 2:4, 2:10 **Brady** [4] - 5:2, 5:10, 9:11, 40:8 Bravo [2] - 5:21, 5:23 BRAVO [1] - 2:23 breaking [1] - 21:16 brief [8] - 29:18, 33:22, 34:8, 34:25, 35:7, 46:1, 46:12, 46:19 briefed [6] - 12:3, 33:9, 33:18, 39:8, 44:22, 48:17 bring [2] - 7:22, 16:4 brings [1] - 15:19

broad [1] - 28:10 broadest [1] - 26:18 broken [1] - 22:2 brought [1] - 50:3 bucket [1] - 22:14 buckets [2] - 20:21, 24:4 building [1] - 42:15 BUNDCHEN [2] - 2:4, 2.11 Bundchen [4] - 5:2, 5:10, 9:11, 40:8 bundles [6] - 8:23, 9:3, 19:1, 21:17, 39:17, 39:18 burden [3] - 15:13, 46:8, 50:25 burdening [1] - 20:14 burdensome [3] -31:19, 31:24, 48:25 BUSHMAN [1] - 1:17 Bushman [1] - 4:14 **BY**[1] - 3:1 BYRNE [2] - 1:21, 1:22 Byrne [2] - 4:16, 4:17

### C

CA [2] - 2:12, 2:24 California [1] - 11:8 canceled [1] - 51:10 capable [1] - 31:23 capital [3] - 18:4, 18:7, 21:4 **CAPITAL** [1] - 2:14 Capital [1] - 5:12 carve [1] - 20:3 CARVER [19] - 2:6, 5:5, 28:3, 33:14, 33:25, 34:5, 34:9, 35:5, 44:16, 45:2, 45:6, 46:21, 47:15, 47:17, 47:24, 48:10, 49:6, 49:18, 49:21 Carver [3] - 5:6, 28:3, 33:14 case [38] - 12:7, 12:11, 12:12, 12:17, 12:18, 13:1, 13:9, 13:14, 15:6, 19:24, 25:19, 26:8, 26:16, 27:18, 28:6, 28:11, 28:12, 28:18, 30:1, 30:14, 32:1, 32:5, 33:5, 33:10, 33:11, 33:13, 33:15, 33:16, 34:1, 35:24, 43:11, 43:14, 43:22, 44:19, 46:4, 46:10, 49:25, 52:2

cases [16] - 7:16, 7:17, 7:23, 11:4, 11:5, 11:6, 11:8, 12:5, 15:19, 17:19, 19:20, 19:21, 24:24, 28:20, 31:24, 35:24 category [1] - 28:20 celebrity [3] - 16:20, 31:15, 32:12 certain [4] - 7:11, 20:14, 43:12 certainly [12] - 6:3, 16:5, 25:15, 25:19, 25:25, 33:1, 36:5, 36:7, 44:8, 45:7, 48:22, 50:22 certify [1] - 53:5 chairpersons [1] -6:16 challenging [1] - 41:7 chambers [1] - 51:23 chance [2] - 23:12, 31:1 changes [1] - 51:11 characterization [1] -8:24 chief [1] - 32:9 chime [1] - 11:22 christopher [1] - 28:3 Christopher [2] - 5:5, 33.14 CHRISTOPHER [1] -2:6 **CIR** [1] - 2:4 Circuit [1] - 28:20 circuit's [1] - 29:21 circumstances [2] -33:7, 46:18 civil [1] - 26:17 civility [1] - 42:9 claim [1] - 31:15 claims [16] - 14:7, 16:12, 19:18, 20:15, 28:6, 28:7, 28:25, 29:1, 29:12, 29:15, 30:7, 31:10, 31:18, 34:15, 38:17, 45:18 clarification [1] - 44:3 clarify [3] - 44:4, 44:9, 48.15 clarity [6] - 13:13, 13:23, 14:3, 14:4, 19:12, 19:19 class [1] - 49:22 cleaned [1] - 46:15

cleanest [1] - 47:12

**cleaning** [1] - 47:5

clear [2] - 11:1, 18:24

Cleary [2] - 5:12, 11:19 **CLEARY** [1] - 2:14 client [1] - 25:18 clients [6] - 19:18, 29:9, 29:15, 30:3, 30:12, 30:15 clients' [1] - 19:11 closed [1] - 17:15 CM/ECF [1] - 51:24 co [1] - 6:15 co-lead [1] - 6:15 cognizant [1] - 23:8 Collapse [1] - 4:3 **COLLAPSE** [1] - 1:5 collegiality [1] - 42:9 Colson [1] - 5:2 COLSON [1] - 2:4 comfortable [5] -21:18, 21:23, 22:2, 41:22, 41:24 coming [5] - 6:22, 14:10, 23:20, 52:8 commencement [1] -26:12 comments [1] - 27:11 commercial [1] -31:17 commit [2] - 14:10, 23:15 committed [1] - 30:11 committee [1] - 6:15 committees [1] - 6:16 common [3] - 18:17, 19:24, 20:12 compartmentalizes [1] - 18:25 compelling [2] -19:14, 38:16 complain [1] - 48:20 complaint [41] - 7:7, 7:22, 13:1, 13:11, 13:25, 14:23, 15:17, 15:19, 16:3, 16:4, 16:9, 16:14, 16:22, 17:16, 17:22, 18:9, 18:24, 19:8, 20:20, 23:19, 25:22, 26:1, 30:10, 30:24, 32:8, 35:1, 35:10, 35:11, 39:10, 45:8, 45:14, 45:15, 45:20, 46:3, 46:23, 47:3, 47:7, 47:23, 48:2, 48:5 complaints [33] - 7:8, 7:22. 13:11. 13:12. 13:13, 13:15, 13:25, 14:7, 14:11, 15:15, 18:15, 18:18, 19:8, 19:13, 19:16, 20:8,

21:19, 22:2, 22:10, 22:11, 24:10, 24:22, 30:25, 34:17, 40:10, 40:15, 44:6, 45:17 complete [1] - 53:7 completes [1] - 42:25 completing [1] - 35:21 complex [1] - 24:24 complexity [2] -35:24, 40:22 compliance [1] - 32:9 complicate [2] - 49:6, 49:16 component [1] - 43:14 conceptually [1] -19:10 concerned [1] - 25:17 concerns [1] - 41:15 conclude [1] - 51:17 concluded [1] - 52:10 **conditions** [1] - 38:1 conducted [1] - 27:25 conducting [1] - 27:12 confer[3] - 40:16, 41:5, 41:6 CONFERENCE [1] -1:10 conference [10] - 6:2, 6:25, 34:19, 42:14, 50:11, 51:2, 51:9, 51:18, 51:25, 52:10 confidence [1] - 38:7 connection [3] - 32:6, 32:7, 32:22 cons [3] - 19:23, 35:8, 36:18 consenting [1] - 10:20 consider [10] - 7:21, 13:12, 35:25, 37:25, 38:1, 38:4, 38:21, 38:22, 39:21, 40:11 consideration [1] -37:20 considered [1] - 38:23 consistent [1] - 15:25 consolidate [1] -13:11 consolidated [19] -7:7, 7:22, 13:24, 14:23, 15:17, 15:18, 16:3, 16:9, 17:15, 17:22, 18:9, 18:24, 19:8, 19:16, 45:15, 47:3, 47:7, 48:2, 48:4 CONSTELLATION [1] - 2:11 consult [1] - 23:13 contact [1] - 41:10

21:10, 21:11, 21:12,

days [27] - 10:17,

10:18, 10:19, 10:23,

11:11, 14:2, 14:14,

15:1, 15:16, 16:6,

contacted [1] - 26:4 contemplated [1] -50:11 contest [1] - 10:24 contesting [1] - 10:21 continue [1] - 27:14 convolution [1] -19:20 cooperatively [1] coordinate [6] - 11:20, 22:15, 22:18, 23:6, 41:13, 41:17 copies [1] - 49:24 CORAL [2] - 1:23, 2:5 core [1] - 27:23 Corporation [1] - 9:14 correct [7] - 10:22, 10:25, 12:12, 12:22, 33:19, 44:13, 45:2 counsel [41] - 4:4, 4:8, 4:19, 5:23, 6:12, 6:15, 6:24, 7:11, 7:12, 8:13, 9:22, 11:23, 13:2, 13:7, 13:22, 13:23, 19:4, 20:5, 20:23, 22:14, 23:9, 30:18, 34:18, 39:15, 39:19, 39:25, 40:11, 40:14, 40:22, 40:23, 40:24, 41:9, 41:16, 41:19, 42:4, 42:22, 43:12, 43:16, 45:5, 50:14, 50:17 counsel's [1] - 22:23 count [1] - 17:23 counts [2] - 18:10 couple [2] - 23:17, 31:9 course [1] - 6:17 Court [44] - 4:7, 7:3, 7:17, 7:21, 7:25, 8:3, 8:4, 15:3, 15:5, 15:14, 15:18, 15:20, 17:18, 18:14, 20:3, 20:17, 24:21, 24:24, 25:5, 27:24, 29:20, 31:8, 33:22, 36:6, 36:12, 36:15, 37:25, 38:20, 38:23, 39:21, 42:7, 44:20, 44:22, 46:1, 46:2, 46:3, 46:12, 46:19, 46:22, 47:25, 49:11, 50:4, 50:14, 51:19 court [4] - 6:21, 9:24, 17:2, 36:22 COURT [99] - 1:1, 4:2, 4:11, 4:18, 5:25, 8:9, 8:22, 9:2, 9:5, 9:9,

9:15, 10:2, 10:10, 10:15, 10:18, 10:23, 11:1, 11:10, 11:16, 12:11, 12:14, 12:20, 12:23, 13:3, 13:8, 14:1, 14:14, 14:19, 14:24, 16:2, 16:8, 16:23, 17:2, 17:9, 18:20, 19:4, 19:22, 21:1, 21:9, 21:18, 21:21, 21:23, 22:6, 22:8, 22:20, 23:4, 23:14, 23:17, 24:14, 24:17, 25:13, 26:22, 27:8, 28:2, 31:5, 33:4, 33:24, 34:3, 34:7, 35:2, 35:7, 36:16, 36:21, 39:1, 39:23, 40:19, 41:22, 42:2, 42:8, 43:2, 43:6, 43:24, 44:10, 44:14, 44:25, 45:3, 45:12, 46:14, 46:20, 47:13, 47:16, 47:19, 48:6, 48:9, 48:11, 48:13, 48:20, 49:2, 49:5, 49:16, 49:20, 50:5, 50:8, 50:16, 51:6, 51:10, 51:20, 52:5, 52:7 Court's [7] - 8:5, 15:22, 15:25, 20:7, 25:12, 47:25, 50:2 courts [2] - 8:2, 25:9 criminal [9] - 25:18, 26:5, 26:9, 26:16, 29:24, 30:20, 31:2, 43:7, 43:11 Cryptocurrency [1] -4:3 CRYPTOCURRENCY

#### D

current [3] - 38:19,

43:15, 47:1

Curry [1] - 9:12

[1] - 1:4

Dan [1] - 32:9 dark [1] - 14:9 date [2] - 47:4, 49:13 dated [1] - 53:10 dates [3] - 43:12, 43:15, 43:18 DAVID [4] - 1:13, 2:4, 2:7, 2:11 David [10] - 4:7, 5:3, 5:6, 5:10, 7:3, 9:11, 9:14, 26:2, 39:13, 40:8

16:8, 21:21, 22:3, 22:9, 22:10, 22:11, 22:17, 22:25, 23:3, 34:11, 46:25, 47:4, 47:6, 48:10, 49:13 **DE** [1] - 1:22 deadline [2] - 12:18, 48:15 deal [4] - 18:16, 26:14, 39:19, 42:5 dealing [2] - 41:1, 41:10 decide [8] - 11:12, 25:6, 37:15, 39:6, 46:2, 46:13, 46:19, 49:14 decided [3] - 28:14, 44:22, 45:25 decision [3] - 14:17, 25:4. 52:2 decisions [1] - 36:9 declaration [1] - 32:8 **DEFENDANT** [4] - 2:1, 2:13, 2:17, 2:22 defendant [11] - 5:20, 18:2, 18:5, 18:8, 19:25, 20:4, 26:9, 27:10, 40:11, 40:12, 40:24 defendant-group [1] -40:12 defendants [78] -7:14, 7:24, 8:21, 8:23, 9:1, 9:4, 9:18, 9:25, 10:3, 10:6, 11:21, 12:1, 12:6, 12:16, 13:17, 14:8, 15:13, 16:13, 16:17, 16:21, 17:23, 18:12, 18:22, 19:1, 19:3, 19:19, 20:13, 20:14, 20:15, 20:25, 21:3, 21:4, 21:5, 21:6, 21:7, 21:13, 21:17, 22:13, 23:9, 26:13, 26:20, 27:14, 28:5, 28:9, 28:17, 28:23, 29:2, 29:4, 29:7, 31:11, 31:15, 32:2, 34:2, 34:6, 34:10, 34:12, 34:14, 35:10, 38:13, 39:17, 40:2, 40:8, 40:10, 41:14, 41:15, 45:17, 47:8, 48:3, 48:16, 48:18, 49:7, 49:9, 49:14,

49:19, 49:24, 51:8 DEFENDANTS [3] -2:3, 2:6, 2:10 defendants' [3] - 7:12, 20:23, 39:15 defense [14] - 4:22, 8:13, 13:7, 17:8, 19:4, 21:23, 31:5, 40:14, 40:21, 40:22, 40:23, 42:21, 43:2, 43:13 defenses [1] - 12:3 defer [1] - 36:15 delay [1] - 26:12 delaying [1] - 28:13 demand [1] - 44:11 demonstrate [1] - 24:7 denied [4] - 45:9, 46:17, 47:15, 47:19 deny [3] - 45:3, 47:16, 47:17 **deposition** [1] - 44:18 depositions [3] - 25:2, 26:24, 27:19 derivative [1] - 30:4 desirable [1] - 36:6 detail [1] - 32:10 details [1] - 19:9 determination [1] -47:25 devil [1] - 19:9 devote [1] - 35:25 difference [6] - 10:3, 10:5, 16:11, 17:18, 20:5, 32:18 differences [1] - 32:4 different [11] - 8:2, 16:13, 16:22, 18:25, 20:21. 20:24. 22:13. 25:9, 25:10, 41:11, 46:7 differently [4] - 8:2, 12:1, 34:13, 44:7 difficult [2] - 42:11, 42:13 diligence [3] - 23:23, 24:1, 24:7 disagree [1] - 40:20 disagreement [1] disclosures [1] - 25:1 discovery [91] - 8:1, 8:4, 8:6, 20:12, 24:12, 24:15, 24:23, 24:25, 25:3, 25:8, 25:10, 26:7, 26:13, 26:17, 26:18, 26:21, 26:23, 27:1, 27:7, 27:12, 27:15, 27:17,

27:21, 27:24, 28:10,

28:13, 28:18, 28:21, 28:23, 29:22, 30:5, 30:6, 30:14, 30:15, 30:19, 30:21, 31:16, 32:20, 32:21, 32:24, 33:6, 33:11, 33:16, 33:20, 33:21, 34:2, 34:4, 34:7, 34:14, 34:18, 34:25, 35:4, 35:11, 35:13, 35:15, 36:11, 36:25, 38:24, 39:3, 39:4, 39:7, 39:10, 44:4, 44:11, 44:17, 44:20, 44:21, 44:23, 45:4, 45:21, 45:22, 45:24, 46:5, 46:8, 46:11, 47:4, 47:8, 47:11, 47:17, 47:21, 48:1, 48:18, 48:19, 48:23, 48:25, 49:7, 49:8, 49:9 discrete [1] - 37:9 discuss [4] - 7:6, 13:21, 36:1, 50:13 discussed [1] - 43:5 discussing [2] - 7:10, 38:19 discussion [3] - 6:7, 11:2, 34:22 discussions [3] -10:13, 34:18, 51:11 disinclined [1] - 33:5 dismiss [28] - 7:9, 13:18, 19:14, 20:16, 22:5, 22:16, 23:22, 24:20, 25:5, 25:7, 25:11, 27:16, 27:22, 27:25, 28:7, 28:13, 28:18, 29:14, 30:25, 32:5, 32:19, 36:9, 36:22, 38:16, 39:5, 40:2, 45:25 dismissed [1] - 24:22 disparate [1] - 37:2 dispose [1] - 19:18 dispositive [3] - 28:8, 29:14, 36:23 distinct [3] - 16:11, 19:25, 21:7 District [2] - 11:7, 43:11 district [1] - 15:11 **DISTRICT** [3] - 1:1, 1:2, 1:11 divide [1] - 36:13 dividends [1] - 20:9 **DIVISION** [1] - 1:2 Docket [1] - 6:11 docket [2] - 46:14, 51:24

docketed [1] - 13:4 document [1] - 24:25 documents [2] -49:25, 50:1 dollars [1] - 29:6 done [9] - 15:16, 17:24, 23:3, 31:23, 31:25, 34:11, 41:13, 44:8 down [2] - 22:2, 28:5 dozens [1] - 41:11 DRYLEWSKI [1] -2:17 DRYLEWSKY [1] -5:14 **Drylewsky** [1] - 5:15 due [3] - 12:24, 12:25. 23:23 during [4] - 25:7, 25:20, 26:21, 33:6

#### Ε

early [1] - 22:23 ease [1] - 13:6 easier [1] - 49:10 economy [1] - 16:19 EDWARD [1] - 2:1 effective [1] - 47:2 **effectively** [1] - 30:19 efficiency [3] - 16:19, 17:24, 22:15 efficient [2] - 17:21, 18:13 effort [1] - 23:16 efforts [1] - 23:8 **EIDSON** [1] - 2:4 **Eidson** [1] - 5:2 eight [1] - 37:21 either [9] - 7:14, 9:22, 10:20, 15:2, 15:9, 19:7, 19:10, 20:6, 51:7 elements [1] - 29:12 Eleventh [1] - 28:20 **Ellis** [1] - 5:20 ELLIS [1] - 2:23 employee [1] - 32:14 employees [1] - 16:15 encompass [1] -14:12 end [5] - 35:21, 37:6, 37:17, 40:3, 43:20 endeavor [1] - 29:18 engaged [1] - 48:17 entered [1] - 50:20 entertain [1] - 37:23 entertainer [5] - 34:2, 34:10, 48:16, 49:19, 49:24

8:21, 9:1, 9:6, 9:18, 9:25, 15:12, 16:12, 16:16, 16:21, 17:8, 18:2, 18:22, 21:3, 27:14, 34:5, 38:13 **ENTIN** [3] - 3:2, 53:4, 53:15 entirely [2] - 24:22, 36:15 entirety [1] - 16:5 entities [2] - 21:8, 22:14 entity [1] - 28:15 Entry [1] - 6:11 envisioning [1] -44:10 especially [1] - 38:15 **ESQ** [16] - 1:13, 1:14, 1:17, 1:17, 1:18, 1:21, 1:21, 2:1, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 2:13, 2:17, 2:20, 2:22, 2:25 essentially [5] - 9:20, 11:6, 16:21, 27:19, 38:20 evaluate [2] - 10:1, 19:7 evaluating [1] - 9:20 event [2] - 4:20, 25:2 exactly [1] - 36:13 example [3] - 32:5. 41:5. 42:10 excellent [1] - 11:15 except [1] - 50:10 **EXCHANGE** [1] - 1:5 **Exchange** [1] - 4:3 excuse [2] - 16:20, 24:13 expect [2] - 35:25, 50:25 expedited [1] - 28:1 expeditiously [1] -10.14 expenses [1] - 28:17 **experience** [1] - 34:25 extremely [2] - 28:19, 41:7

entertainment [16] -

### F

face [1] - 29:10 facilitate [1] - 22:17 fact [5] - 12:5, 23:8, 30:18, 35:9, 45:10 factor [2] - 29:21, 29:23 facts [3] - 29:11, 32:18, 32:23 factual [2] - 16:11,

32:3 fail [1] - 29:2 fair [2] - 8:23, 51:15 fairly [1] - 10:14 fairness [1] - 27:5 faith [1] - 38:7 falls [1] - 28:19 familiar [2] - 27:18, 51:21 far [5] - 22:8, 25:16, 29:1, 41:13, 41:16 fashion [1] - 30:6 favor [1] - 35:11 few [1] - 23:9 fight [2] - 7:16, 27:9 file [26] - 7:16, 14:6, 15:4, 15:10, 15:14, 15:18, 16:8, 19:16, 32:19, 33:18, 35:3, 45:15, 47:2, 47:3, 47:6, 47:7, 47:21, 47:22, 48:1, 48:6, 48:7, 48:9, 49:9, 49:12, 49:17 filed [15] - 9:19, 9:23, 11:4, 11:7, 11:8, 12:17, 13:14, 13:15, 14:13, 21:11, 30:24, 33:15, 33:21, 46:24, 49:17 filing [8] - 7:7, 7:21, 13:24. 20:16. 20:20. 22:10. 22:11. 44:6 filings [1] - 8:1 finally [3] - 30:17, 31:20, 50:1 financial [1] - 16:15 fine [2] - 4:21, 24:1 FIRM [1] - 1:18 firm [3] - 18:3, 18:4, 21:5 Firm [1] - 4:13 firms [2] - 18:8, 41:11 first [13] - 6:2, 7:4, 13:23, 23:17, 24:2, 24:7, 28:25, 31:15, 34:22, 39:18, 40:15, 41:18, 44:3 five [6] - 20:24, 21:11, 21:13, 21:19, 37:6, 43.19 **FL** [5] - 1:23, 2:2, 2:5, 2:8, 2:21 flag [1] - 50:2 **FLEXNER** [1] - 1:14 Flexner [1] - 4:7 floor [1] - 42:14 FLORIDA [3] - 1:2, 1:16. 1:20

Florida [3] - 1:4,

32:15, 53:4 **FLOWER** [1] - 2:23 focus [2] - 20:17, 39:16 folks [2] - 23:10 follow [4] - 12:14, 44:6, 50:11, 50:18 follow-up [2] - 50:11, 50:18 following [2] - 4:1, 50:20 Footnote [1] - 32:13 FOR [1] - 1:13 forcing [1] - 28:16 foregoing [1] - 53:6 foreign [1] - 21:8 formalized [1] - 40:14 **formally** [1] - 40:13 forward [5] - 25:4, 27:17, 31:13, 38:9, 46:5 founded [2] - 28:19, 29:13 foyer [1] - 42:18 **FPR** [2] - 3:2, 53:15 frame [3] - 10:10, 14:1, 15:23 frankly [2] - 30:9, 47:11 fraud [7] - 29:4, 30:4, 30:5, 30:8, 30:11, 30:16, 30:22 frees [1] - 13:5 Friday [2] - 12:18, 13:1 FRIED [1] - 2:1 Fried [11] - 4:25, 25:15, 26:3, 26:6, 26:15, 26:22, 27:7, 29:25, 30:10, 31:4, 43:4 Fried's [1] - 49:21 Friedberg [1] - 32:9 front [2] - 15:3, 17:3 **FT** [1] - 2:8 FTX [12] - 1:4, 4:2, 16:14, 18:1, 28:15, 29:4, 30:4, 30:22, 32:9, 32:15, 33:10 full [2] - 30:15, 38:7 fully [2] - 33:17, 36:21 Furst [2] - 4:15, 4:16 FURST [3] - 1:21, 1:22, 4:15

### G

future [1] - 45:4

**GABLES** [2] - 1:23, 2:5

28:6, 33:15, 34:1, 45:10 Gelber [1] - 4:24 **GELBER** [1] - 2:1 generic [1] - 26:18 Gerald [3] - 4:23, 25:14, 43:3 GERALD [1] - 2:1 germane [1] - 19:2 get-together [1] - 6:2 **Gisele** [3] - 5:2, 5:10, 9:11 **GISELE** [2] - 2:4, 2:11 given [6] - 6:7, 7:13, 16:11, 35:24, 38:15, 38:18 Golden [2] - 5:3, 9:11 **GOLDEN** [1] - 2:4 Gottlieb [2] - 5:12, 11:19 **GOTTLIEB** [1] - 2:14 government [1] - 27:4 grant [1] - 47:14 GRAY [1] - 2:20 GrayRobinson [1] -5:17 great [2] - 17:12, 26:14 GREENBERG [7] -2:1, 2:1, 4:23, 25:14, 43:3, 43:10, 44:1 Greenberg [4] - 4:24, 25:14, 43:3 grounds [2] - 13:19, 20:18 group [9] - 10:2, 11:22, 12:5, 20:14, 21:8, 21:12, 34:15, 40:11, 40:12 groupings [1] - 40:16 groups [11] - 18:9, 18:11, 19:25, 20:1, 20:4, 20:9, 20:25, 21:14, 39:17, 40:9, 40:24 guess [1] - 36:18 guidance [2] - 8:3, 15:22 guy [1] - 31:16

Garrison [5] - 27:18,

#### Н

hac [1] - 51:21 hallway [1] - 42:18 HAMILTON [1] - 2:14 Hamilton [1] - 5:12 hand [1] - 25:9 handle [2] - 17:18, 37:10 **information** [2] - 6:13,

initial [4] - 7:6, 8:7,

14:4. 25:1

input [1] - 38:10

inquire [1] - 51:17

insider [1] - 21:4

insiders [2] - 29:5,

instance [7] - 11:7,

13:23, 24:2, 24:8,

**institutions** [1] - 28:5

instruction [1] - 11:20

interdistrict [1] - 12:9

interim [2] - 41:8, 41:9

intend [2] - 12:2,

international [1] -

interrogatories [1] -

intervention [1] -

invested [1] - 29:7

36:12

43:13

24:25

51:13

34:23, 40:18, 41:19

26.14

30.4

handled [2] - 18:9, 32.1 handling [2] - 26:5, 43:16 happy [6] - 13:12, 13:21, 27:10, 29:19, 37:25, 49:12 harm [1] - 28:12 harmed [1] - 47:5 hash [1] - 27:8 HASLEM [1] - 2:7 Haslem [3] - 5:6, 9:13, hate [1] - 22:22 hear [6] - 6:12, 6:23, 8:12, 8:16, 14:5, 33:1 heard [6] - 11:23, 14:6, 14:11, 29:23, 34:16, 52:7 hearing [6] - 4:1, 8:21, 20:5, 21:25, 50:21, 52:3 heart [1] - 30:21 heat [2] - 36:11, 38:25 held [1] - 4:1 help [5] - 16:5, 20:3, 20:17, 37:13, 49:15 helpful [10] - 8:9, 17:4, 36:3, 37:8, 38:9, 39:14, 39:20, 39:22, 40:17, 43:6 herded [1] - 41:4 hesitant [1] - 14:10 Hicks [1] - 5:2 HICKS [1] - 2:4 hold [1] - 39:1 home [2] - 7:16, 15:11 Honor [84] - 4:6, 4:12, 4:15, 4:23, 5:1, 5:5, 5:8, 5:11, 5:19, 5:22, 7:2, 7:23, 8:19,

11:14, 11:18, 12:13,

13:9, 14:5, 14:21,

16:7, 16:10, 17:12,

20:22, 21:20, 21:22,

18:21, 19:1, 19:6,

22:1, 22:7, 22:12,

23:7, 24:13, 24:16,

24:19, 26:2, 27:13,

27:18, 28:22, 31:8,

33:14, 34:9, 34:13,

38:12, 39:13, 39:24,

41:12, 41:21, 41:25,

35:5, 36:5, 36:20,

40:7, 40:9, 40:20,

43:1, 43:3, 43:10,

44:2, 44:5, 44:7,

44:16, 45:2, 45:6,

32:3, 32:7, 33:3,

45:13, 46:21, 47:15, 47:24, 48:10, 48:12, 48:22, 49:4, 49:6, 49:18, 50:7, 50:10, 51:5, 51:7, 51:16, 52:4, 52:6 honor [1] - 49:12 Honor's [2] - 11:20, 34:20 HONORABLE [1] -1:10 hopefully [1] - 22:9 horizon [1] - 37:3 housekeeping [3] -12:4, 16:23, 50:10 HOWARD [1] - 1:17 Howard [1] - 4:14 huddle [1] - 42:18 huge [1] - 17:17 hundreds [1] - 29:6 hurt [1] - 28:16 hypothetical [1] -31:13

ı

investment [1] - 5:13 idea [2] - 23:22, 37:4 investor [14] - 11:21, identify [3] - 9:10, 12:1, 12:6, 13:17, 16:24, 17:5 19:18, 21:4, 22:13, immediately [1] - 15:9 23:9, 28:23, 29:2, implausible [1] - 29:9 29:3, 29:7, 41:14, important [4] - 13:17, 48:18 19:11. 32:11. 32:20 investors [3] - 18:3, impression [1] - 32:21 30:11, 30:12 IN [1] - 1:4 invite [1] - 42:16 inappropriate [1] involved [1] - 22:19 36:3 issue [40] - 7:4, 7:25, include [2] - 11:3, 8:2, 8:13, 9:25, 30:12 10:20, 11:17, 14:22, including [2] - 12:4, 14:23, 15:10, 15:11, 23:10 17:9, 17:10, 22:9, inconvenience [1] -24:10, 24:14, 30:8, 50:23 33:8, 34:8, 35:8, incorporate [1] -35:13, 37:10, 37:21, 50:19 39:3, 39:4, 39:5, incredibly [1] - 30:13 39:7, 40:17, 41:18, 41:19, 42:22, 42:24, incur [1] - 28:17 indeed [2] - 26:4, 49:1, 49:12, 49:19, 49:25, 50:10, 50:18, 26.14 indicated [2] - 7:3, 50:19. 51:1 26:6 issues [50] - 6:6, 6:19, 6:22, 7:10, 7:14, individual [9] - 8:15, 7:19, 8:7, 8:8, 8:10, 13:16, 17:13, 17:23, 8:11, 8:15, 10:11, 18:8, 28:9, 28:17, 12:3, 12:4, 13:10, 38:6, 38:8 13:19, 14:21, 17:13, individually [1] - 42:5 17:14, 17:25, 18:1, individuals [1] - 28:6 18:4, 18:6, 18:11, informal [1] - 51:11 19:2, 19:9, 19:24, informally [2] - 41:18, 19:25, 20:3, 20:12, 42.23

29:13, 29:16, 29:19, 30:1, 30:2, 30:22, 34:22, 36:25, 37:5, 39:9, 39:13, 39:19, 41:1, 42:25, 49:16, 50:3, 50:13, 50:22, 50:24, 51:12 Item [1] - 50:2

J Jessica [2] - 5:8, 40:7

**JESSICA** [1] - 2:10 JOHN [1] - 1:21 John [1] - 4:16 joint [1] - 12:17 jointly [1] - 51:25 Joseph [1] - 4:14 **JOSEPH** [1] - 1:18 Judge [6] - 5:14, 12:7, 12:21, 32:25, 33:11, 37:14 judge [6] - 35:19, 35:20, 35:21, 37:7, 37:10. 45:6 JUDGE [1] - 1:11 judge's [1] - 46:3 judgment [1] - 46:4 judicial [3] - 37:12, 37:17, 51:13 juncture [2] - 38:24, 40:5 June [3] - 1:6, 37:6, 53:10 jurisdiction [23] -7:10, 7:13, 7:15, 7:19, 8:13, 9:16, 9:18, 9:19, 10:19, 10:24, 11:24, 12:2, 13:10, 13:19, 14:22, 14:25, 15:3, 15:4, 15:11, 22:10, 24:10, 29:16, 39:10 jurisdictional [1] -33:20

Kirkland [1] - 5:20 knows [1] - 46:25 Kroeger [9] - 5:1, 8:20, 17:7, 18:21, 22:1, 27:13, 38:12, 39:24, 44:2 KROEGER [24] - 2:3, 5:1, 8:19, 8:25, 9:3, 9:8, 9:10, 9:17, 10:5, 10:12, 10:16, 10:22, 10:25, 11:5, 16:10, 17:1, 17:7, 18:21, 22:1, 27:13, 38:12, 39:24, 44:2, 44:13 L

kind [10] - 10:10,

24:24, 27:20, 32:15,

42:21, 44:16, 44:23

35:23, 39:2, 39:3,

KIRKLAND [1] - 2:23

laid [1] - 33:25 large [2] - 12:5, 28:5 Large [1] - 53:5 larger [2] - 18:16, 42:17 **LARRY** [1] - 2:11 Larry [1] - 5:10 LAS [1] - 2:7 last [1] - 32:13 **LATHAM** [1] - 2:10 **Latham** [1] - 5:9 LAUDERDALE [1] -2:8 **Law** [1] - 4:13 law [3] - 29:2, 29:21, 41.11 **LAW** [1] - 1:18 Lawrence [3] - 5:3, 9:11, 9:13 **LAWRENCE** [1] - 2:4 lawyer's [1] - 42:14 lawyers [4] - 18:7, 41:3, 41:11, 42:5 lead [2] - 6:15, 50:17 leading [1] - 39:3 learned [1] - 32:23 least [7] - 6:19, 24:5, 35:3, 35:9, 35:12, 40:24, 43:17 leaves [1] - 37:11 legal [1] - 16:11 length [1] - 20:6 lengthy [1] - 7:15 **LEON** [1] - 1:22 letter [2] - 51:19, 51:20 liaison [10] - 39:15, 39:19, 39:25, 40:11,

jurisdictions [1] - 9:23 Κ Kauffmann [1] - 5:23 KAUFFMANN [2] -2:25, 5:22 **KAYE** [1] - 1:18 Kaye [1] - 4:14 keep [3] - 17:3, 24:3, 36:23 keeping [1] - 36:21 kept [1] - 30:9 Kevin [1] - 9:13 key [4] - 8:7, 29:21

1:17, 1:18, 4:12,

Moskowitz [3] - 4:13,

31:8, 33:10

40:14, 40:22, 40:23, 41:9, 42:3, 42:21 liaisons [1] - 40:21 LIBERTY [1] - 2:15 likely [3] - 6:17, 38:17, 38.25 limbo [3] - 44:17, 44:24, 47:5 lines [1] - 22:3 literally [1] - 41:10 **litigating** [1] - 31:10 **LITIGATION** [1] - 1:5 **Litigation** [1] - 4:3 litigation [4] - 6:3, 6:18, 16:5, 38:9 live [1] - 14:1 **LLP** [6] - 1:14, 2:7, 2:14, 5:6, 28:3, 33:15 local [2] - 5:23, 51:21 logistical [1] - 50:24 look [7] - 21:16, 31:13, 32:12, 34:17, 36:16, 37:23, 41:3 looked [1] - 20:2 looking [3] - 8:3, 31:13, 37:21 LOS [2] - 2:12, 2:24 lost [1] - 29:7 **LP**[3] - 2:18, 5:16, 5:18

#### M

Maderal [1] - 4:16 MADERAL [1] - 1:22 Magistrate [2] - 31:22, magistrate [12] - 33:1, 35:19, 35:20, 35:21, 36:8, 36:13, 36:14, 37:4, 37:7, 37:10, 46:9, 49:1 magistrates [1] -31:23 main [1] - 30:16 manage [1] - 16:5 management [2] -17:22, 17:25 MANHATTAN [1] -2:18 map [1] - 6:4 March [2] - 31:3, 43:15 Martinez [2] - 12:7, 12:21 MARY [1] - 1:19 massive [1] - 28:12 master [9] - 36:1, 36:6, 36:12, 36:14, 37:22, 38:2, 38:14,

38:23, 46:9 masters [1] - 36:7 matter [5] - 13:14, 28:1, 29:2, 36:24, 43:7 matters [2] - 6:25, 25:15 MDL [16] - 6:3, 7:13, 8:4, 12:15, 15:18, 19:20, 20:11, 23:12, 32:22, 33:17, 33:23, 39:20, 40:3, 44:19, 44:23, 45:16 MDLs [4] - 36:7, 40:13, 40:20, 40:21 mean [4] - 9:7, 31:12, 37:14, 46:15 means [1] - 42:20 meet [3] - 40:16, 41:5, 41:6 mentioned [4] - 16:6, 19:1, 22:4, 24:11 merit [3] - 27:15, 27:24, 33:21 merits [3] - 33:12, 38:24 MIAMI [4] - 1:2, 1:16, 1:20, 2:2 Miami [6] - 1:4, 32:6, 32:7, 32:10, 32:22 MICHAEL [1] - 1:10 might [15] - 14:4, 15:24. 17:4. 33:7. 35:18, 37:22, 38:4, 38:5, 38:6, 38:14, 38:21, 39:25, 40:6, 45:10, 49:10 millions [1] - 29:6 mind [1] - 25:24 minds [1] - 13:6 minimum [2] - 25:19, 40:12 minor [1] - 42:12 moment [1] - 51:5 months [8] - 23:3, 23:5, 25:4, 25:6, 26:21, 37:6, 38:20, 41:2 MOORE [1] - 1:10 Moore [1] - 5:14 moot [5] - 45:3, 45:9, 47:18, 47:19, 50:19 morass [1] - 42:4 morning [16] - 4:6, 4:10, 4:11, 4:12, 4:15, 4:23, 5:1, 5:5, 5:8, 5:11, 5:14, 5:19, 5:22, 6:13, 8:19, 11:25

MOSKOWITZ [5] -

31:9 most [6] - 18:13, 31:15, 32:4, 40:13, 40:20. 40:21 motion [43] - 7:9, 12:8, 12:17, 15:14, 19:14, 20:16, 22:16, 23:22, 25:5, 25:11, 26:8, 28:18, 33:6, 33:11, 33:15, 33:18, 34:4, 35:4, 36:21, 36:23, 39:4, 44:4, 44:21, 45:1, 45:8, 45:9, 46:15, 46:18, 46:19, 46:22, 47:3, 47:7, 47:14, 47:16, 47:17, 47:25, 48:6, 48:7, 48:9, 48:17, 48:24, 49:9 motions [31] - 7:20, 8:5, 9:19, 13:18, 15:15, 20:18, 22:4, 24:20, 25:6, 27:16, 27:22, 27:25, 28:7, 28:13, 29:13, 29:14, 30:25, 32:19, 36:9, 38:16, 38:21, 38:23, 40:2, 43:13, 45:19, 45:25, 46:1, 46:6, 46:12, 47:21, 49:11 move [3] - 15:13, 25:17, 51:13 moved [1] - 25:17 moving [4] - 16:6, 24:5, 35:13, 38:9 MR [112] - 4:6, 4:10, 4:12, 4:23, 5:1, 5:5, 5:11, 5:14, 5:17, 7:2, 8:19, 8:25, 9:3, 9:8, 9:10, 9:17, 10:5, 10:12, 10:16, 10:22, 10:25, 11:5, 11:14, 11:18, 12:12, 12:16, 12:22, 12:25, 13:6, 13:9, 14:3, 14:16, 14:21, 14:25, 16:7, 16:10, 17:1, 17:7, 17:12, 18:21, 19:6, 20:22, 21:2, 21:10, 21:20, 21:22, 22:1, 22:7, 22:12, 22:22, 23:5, 23:7, 23:15, 24:13, 24:16, 24:19, 25:14, 26:2, 26:25, 27:13, 28:3, 28:22, 31:8, 33:10, 33:14, 33:25, 34:5, 34:9,

34:13, 35:5, 36:5, 36:20, 38:12, 39:12, 39:24, 40:20, 41:12, 41:25, 42:3, 42:25, 43:3, 43:10, 44:1, 44:2, 44:13, 44:15, 44:16, 45:2, 45:6, 45:13, 46:17, 46:21, 47:15, 47:17, 47:24, 48:7, 48:10, 48:12, 48:14, 48:21, 48:22, 49:4, 49:6, 49:18, 49:21, 50:7, 50:9, 51:5, 51:7, 51:16, 52:4, 52:6 MS [4] - 4:15, 5:19, 5:22, 40:7

#### Ν

name [4] - 4:4, 16:24, 17:5, 37:24 named [2] - 12:6, 23:11 names [2] - 37:24, 38.3 NAOMI [1] - 2:5 Naomi [2] - 5:4, 9:12 narrowed [1] - 38:18 nature [1] - 43:22 necessary [6] - 11:22, 36:3, 40:17, 50:11, 51:2, 51:8 need [17] - 10:12, 11:2, 13:13, 13:22, 14:4, 15:18, 22:25, 23:23, 41:6, 41:9, 42:3, 42:16, 48:4, 51:3, 51:4, 51:12, 52:2 needing [1] - 50:14 needs [2] - 26:12, 42:11 neutral [1] - 42:16 never [1] - 45:6 NEW [3] - 2:15, 2:19 New [1] - 43:11 new [5] - 14:7, 28:25, 46:18, 46:22, 47:21 next [14] - 24:11, 24:14, 25:4, 26:21, 37:15, 39:11, 41:2, 41:21, 42:24, 43:21, 50:12, 50:15, 51:2, 51:8 **NO**[1] - 1:3 non [1] - 21:8 non-U.S [1] - 21:8 none [2] - 12:3, 20:22 normal [1] - 33:5

normally [2] - 33:4, 33.5 Northern [1] - 11:7 notes [1] - 53:8 nothing [8] - 28:15, 31:16, 31:17, 47:4, 48:20, 50:7, 50:9, 52:8 notice [1] - 8:1 **notices** [1] - 44:18 novel [1] - 28:6 November [3] - 35:22, 36:9, 37:18 number [7] - 4:18, 6:6, 8:11, 22:13, 22:18, 41:3, 51:24 Number [1] - 6:11 NY [1] - 2:15

#### 0

O'Keefe [4] - 12:7, 12:11, 12:12, 23:11 **O'Leary** [1] - 9:13 O'NEAL [2] - 2:5, 2:10 O'Neal [4] - 5:3, 5:9, 9:12, 40:8 object [4] - 22:22, 23:1, 48:25, 49:3 objected [1] - 44:18 objection [8] - 16:8, 21:25, 22:20, 26:11, 31:24, 32:2, 33:1, 33:2 obviously [8] - 7:8, 7:20, 10:7, 25:5, 25:11, 25:25, 36:15, 50:13 October [2] - 31:2, 43:15 **OF** [9] - 1:2, 1:10, 2:1, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 2:13, 2:17, 2:22 office [2] - 32:11, 37:11 Office [5] - 26:4, 26:25, 27:5, 27:9, 29:24 officer [2] - 32:9, 37:12 Ohtani [1] - 9:13 **OLAS** [1] - 2:7 ON [7] - 2:1, 2:3, 2:6, 2:10, 2:13, 2:17, 2.22 once [7] - 19:16, 26:1, 38:23, 39:7, 47:2, 48:2 one [31] - 6:19, 7:18, 7:25, 8:14, 12:5,

13:12, 14:22, 15:2,
16:4, 16:10, 18:9,
20:14, 24:11, 31:16,
32:14, 32:17, 37:4,
37:19, 38:5, 40:5,
42:10, 43:18, 45:11,
45:13, 48:10, 50:4,
50:10, 52:1
ONE [2] - 2:15, 2:18
ones [1] - 48:3
operating [2] - 14:8,
28:15
OPERATIONS [1] -
2:18
Operations [2] - 5:15,
5:18
operative [5] - 45:8,
45:14, 45:16, 45:20,
46:23
opinion [2] - 10:3,
10:5
opportunity [3] - 6:7,
19:15, 37:24
oppose [1] - 33:21
opposed [2] - 33:20,
38:6
order [7] - 7:13, 13:4,
15:13, 38:2, 50:2,
50:11, 50:20
organization [1] -
39:15
organize [1] - 7:4
organized [1] - 7:23
original [1] - 31:18
ORLANDO [1] - 2:21
Ortiz [3] - 5:7, 9:14,
28:4
<b>ORTIZ</b> [1] - 2:7
Osaka [2] - 5:4, 9:12
<b>OSAKA</b> [1] - 2:5
Otazo [2] - 31:22,
37:14
otherwise [1] - 19:1
ought [7] - 15:1,
27:17, 45:24, 46:5,
46:11, 46:12, 48:24
ourselves [1] - 50:14
outer [1] - 30:7
outstanding [3] -
45:1, 45:11, 46:16
overall [6] - 9:1, 9:2,
17:25, 18:1, 19:24,
30:1
overlapping [1] -
17:13
overwhelming [1] -
31:19
<b>own</b> [1] - 29:5

### Ρ P.A [1] - 2:20 pages [2] - 18:16, 32:10 Pages [1] - 1:9 panel [1] - 30:2 **PARADIGM** [1] - 2:17 Paradigm [2] - 5:15, part [3] - 23:12, 30:7, 50:20 participating [1] -20:14 particular [5] - 11:16, 18:11, 20:18, 37:2 particularly [5] -20:17, 22:23, 28:9, 28:17, 37:8 parties [10] - 6:4, 6:8, 19:22, 22:19, 24:4, 25:1, 34:22, 36:2, 37:23, 51:25 partner [2] - 4:8, 4:16 partners [1] - 4:14 party [1] - 37:2 pay [1] - 20:9 peek [2] - 33:12, 46:2 peeked [1] - 33:12 peg [1] - 14:18 pending [10] - 8:1, 8:5, 12:7, 12:8, 12:20, 25:18, 27:15, 27:22, 39:4, 45:10 people [1] - 26:10 perhaps [5] - 7:9, 15:23. 18:23. 27:25 period [4] - 14:5, 15:8, 15:23, 25:7 permission [1] - 34:21 person [2] - 36:19, 38:5 personal [22] - 7:10, 7:13, 7:15, 7:18, 8:13, 9:15, 9:17, 9:19, 10:19, 10:24, 11:24, 12:2, 13:10, 13:19, 14:22, 14:25, 15:3, 15:11, 22:9, 24:10, 29:15, 39:9 personally [1] - 37:4 perspective [4] -12:10, 19:11, 27:2, 38:13

PH[1] - 2:4

phase [1] - 38:18

**PINE** [1] - 2:21

**piecemeal** [1] - 30:6

Pittenger [1] - 5:17

**PITTENGER** [2] - 2:20,

5:17 place [2] - 33:1, 45:24 plaintiff [2] - 4:5, 49.23 **PLAINTIFF** [1] - 1:13 plaintiffs [25] - 4:8, 4:19, 7:4, 12:16, 14:4, 14:5, 14:16, 25:16, 25:21, 27:19, 28:10, 28:12, 29:5, 30:20, 30:23, 32:14, 33:20, 33:21, 40:16, 47:2, 47:6, 49:7, 49:13, 50:7, 51:7 plaintiffs' [23] - 6:11, 6:12, 6:14, 6:15, 6:16, 6:21, 6:23, 7:11, 9:22, 11:23, 13:2, 13:21, 13:23, 17:11, 20:5, 21:15, 34:18, 39:14, 41:16, 45:5, 50:13, 50:17 plan [1] - 14:6 players [1] - 30:16 **PLAZA** [1] - 2:15 plead [1] - 29:11 pleadings [3] - 29:3, 47:1, 47:2 pled [1] - 26:10 **PLLC** [1] - 1:22 **PM** [1] - 1:7 point [12] - 25:23, 32:3, 35:15, 35:18, 38:11, 38:15, 39:1, 40:1, 42:12, 43:19, 44:12, 46:11 pointed [1] - 19:22 points [5] - 23:17, 28:24, 31:9, 32:4, 44.3 **PONCE** [1] - 1:22 position [5] - 27:1, 27:23, 28:23, 44:20, 45:24 possible [2] - 9:21, 29:19 possibly [1] - 21:12 potentially [2] - 10:9, 40:12 practical [1] - 18:23 practice [4] - 15:14, 36:24, 45:16, 48:18 prefer [2] - 18:14, 51:23 preferable [2] - 16:3, 20:8 preference [1] - 25:12 prejudge [1] - 24:20 prejudice [2] - 30:17, 30:23

prejudicial [1] - 30:13 preliminary [6] - 6:11, 6:24, 7:3, 16:18, 49:21, 49:23 premature [6] - 35:14, 37:3, 38:15, 40:1, 40:6, 40:25 prepared [1] - 8:16 present [3] - 15:5, 16:16, 26:19 presents [1] - 41:19 preserve [1] - 13:18 previously [4] - 9:19, 10:6, 10:8, 48:17 primary [1] - 39:12 pro [1] - 51:21 problem [6] - 20:19, 22:6, 27:2, 45:12, 45:13, 46:17 procedural [2] -19:19, 47:5 proceed [4] - 8:6, 8:17, 10:9, 38:18 proceeding [5] -17:19, 25:20, 26:16, 30:6, 30:14 proceedings [4] -25:18, 30:21, 31:3, 53:6 process [1] - 22:24 professional [1] - 21:6 professionalism [1] -42.9 progress [1] - 50:12 prohibited [1] - 51:22 promote [1] - 16:19 promoter [1] - 21:3 proper [1] - 32:24 proposal [5] - 13:11, 13:24, 14:6, 19:7, 42:7 proposals [1] - 11:24 **propose** [1] - 16:7 **proposed** [1] - 40:9 pros [3] - 19:23, 35:8, 36:17 prosecution [2] -26:5, 31:2 prosecutions [1] -29:24 proves [1] - 42:23 provide [2] - 37:13, 37:22 provided [2] - 6:13, 27:3 providing [1] - 27:3 provisions [1] - 49:22 punted [2] - 33:16, 42:21 purely [1] - 13:14

purpose [1] - 42:17 purposes [3] - 8:21, 16:23, 22:8 pursue [1] - 35:15 pursued [2] - 10:6, 10:8 push [1] - 35:12 put [1] - 44:11 putting [1] - 27:10

#### Q

quantify [1] - 10:15 questions [1] - 14:20 quickly [2] - 29:19, 29:20 quite [2] - 23:12, 28:21 quote [3] - 17:14, 17:15, 30:10

#### R

**RACHEL** [1] - 1:21 Rachel [1] - 4:15 raise [3] - 12:2, 31:25, 32:5 raised [5] - 11:3, 17:10, 24:14, 32:2, 39:2 raising [1] - 49:12 range [2] - 28:4, 43:20 **RE**[1] - 1:4 re [1] - 4:2 reached [1] - 34:24 read [1] - 32:7 real [2] - 32:18, 43:18 reality [1] - 31:10 really [4] - 6:19, 20:13, 22:25, 36:10 reason [4] - 20:13, 24:3, 37:15, 39:2 reasonable [2] - 15:8, reasonably [1] - 27:17 receive [1] - 44:5 received [1] - 6:8 recess [1] - 52:9 recognize [2] - 25:9, 33:6 recognized [1] - 4:20 recommendation [1] -36:23 record [5] - 4:4, 16:24, 51:24, 52:5, 53:7 recuse [1] - 37:16 refer [1] - 36:22 referee [1] - 27:10 reference [2] - 30:2,

30:18

referenced [3] - 19:15, 30:12, 49:22 referring [1] - 26:23 refiling [1] - 9:20 regard [3] - 25:22, 27:11, 28:25 regarding [3] - 6:3, 6:14, 27:1 regardless [1] - 18:5 Reid [1] - 32:1 relate [1] - 50:2 related [2] - 25:18, 50:3 relating [1] - 43:13 relevant [1] - 19:3 remaining [1] - 40:3 renew [2] - 22:4, 25:25 renewed [1] - 45:4 repeat [1] - 18:17 report [7] - 6:11, 6:24, 7:3, 16:18, 32:12, 49:21, 53:6 **REPORTED** [1] - 3:1 Reporter [1] - 53:4 reporter [1] - 17:3 reports [2] - 22:4, 49:23 representation [1] request [6] - 22:23, 25:21, 26:1, 48:19, 48:23, 51:25 requests [2] - 44:17, require [1] - 50:18 requisite [1] - 38:8 reserve [1] - 10:8 resided [1] - 32:15 resolution [4] - 11:6, 27:16, 34:23, 50:17 resolve [6] - 10:19, 11:12, 15:11, 22:9, 29:20, 51:11 resolved [6] - 7:14, 7:19, 10:14, 10:17, 19:20, 40:2 resolving [1] - 10:11 respect [31] - 8:25, 9:15, 9:17, 9:19, 11:24, 14:8, 14:25, 15:17, 16:12, 16:16, 18:1, 26:8, 26:9, 26:13, 26:19, 26:20, 26:22, 26:23, 27:1, 27:7, 28:9, 29:3, 29:16, 29:25, 30:5, 30:14, 30:17, 33:19, 33:20, 45:20, 48:16 respects [1] - 20:10 respond [6] - 7:24,

14:11, 18:20, 22:4, 35:14, 48:8 response [6] - 12:18, 12:24, 17:11, 25:13, 31:6, 33:22 responses [3] - 7:8, 12:25, 22:11 result [2] - 29:5, 33:23 resume [1] - 50:15 retiring [2] - 35:22, 36:8 reviewed [1] - 6:8 revisit [2] - 41:20, 42:22 Reyes [1] - 37:14 ripe [1] - 45:1 **RISHI** [1] - 2:13 Rishi [7] - 11:18, 19:6, 22:12, 28:22, 41:12, 48:14, 50:9 rishi [1] - 5:11 **ROBINSON** [1] - 2:20 roles [1] - 36:14 room [5] - 41:3, 42:14, 42:17, 46:25, 49:10 **RPR** [2] - 3:2, 53:15 Rule [1] - 36:4 rule [5] - 33:2, 33:22, 35:9, 37:24, 38:21 ruled [3] - 38:23, 45:1, 46.16 rules [3] - 27:24, 39:20, 51:21 ruling [1] - 39:5 rulings [1] - 27:25

S sake [1] - 42:8 Sam [7] - 4:24, 25:14, 26:3, 26:5, 26:15, 26:22, 27:7 SAM [1] - 2:1 satisfied [1] - 38:2 satisfy [1] - 11:10 Schachter [1] - 4:24 SCHACHTER [1] - 2:1 schedule [5] - 7:6, 7:21, 7:24, 15:25, 49:11 scheduling [3] - 22:8, 41:1, 44:8 Schiller [1] - 4:7 **SCHILLER** [1] - 1:14 scope [3] - 30:8, 30:22, 38:17 SE [2] - 1:15, 2:2 **SEC** [1] - 30:9 second [3] - 7:6, 29:23, 31:22

secondly [1] - 23:21 see [17] - 12:23, 20:13, 24:1, 26:1, 34:21, 34:23, 35:14, 37:3, 40:15, 42:1, 42:6, 48:2, 48:4, 51:4, 52:8 seek [1] - 47:11 seeking [1] - 46:8 **seem** [3] - 37:8, 43:18, sees [1] - 51:1 selection [2] - 40:1, 40:5 sense [9] - 26:17, 26:18, 29:9, 34:20, 40:10, 40:23, 46:25, 48.1 sent [1] - 13:3 separate [16] - 16:21, 17:10, 17:14, 18:10, 18:15, 19:8, 20:3, 20:8, 20:20, 21:11, 21:12, 22:14, 40:9, 40:10, 49:1 separated [2] - 21:13, 43:12 **Sequoia** [2] - 5:12, 11:19 **SEQUOIA** [1] - 2:13 serve [1] - 49:7 served [5] - 34:15, 35:10. 44:17. 44:18. 45:21 service [2] - 35:21, 35:22 serving [1] - 24:25 set [2] - 27:19, 31:2 setting [2] - 7:21, 29:15 seven [2] - 32:10, 43:19 seven-week [1] -43:19 several [3] - 9:3, 23:10, 26:21 **SHAQUILLE** [2] - 2:5, 2.10 **Shaquille** [3] - 5:3, 5:9, 9:12 **share** [1] - 43:7 **Shohei** [1] - 9:13 **shortly** [1] - 36:10 shot [1] - 35:13 show [1] - 23:24

side [8] - 6:21, 21:23,

42:6, 47:9, 48:3

significant [3] - 18:6,

ST [1] - 2:21

signed [1] - 13:4

33:23, 37:24, 38:10,

28:7, 31:1 similar [1] - 26:3 similarly [1] - 39:25 simply [6] - 7:16, 16:15, 19:2, 27:23, 32:7, 46:2 Singerman [1] - 5:24 single [4] - 13:25, 17:22, 18:23, 19:7 site [1] - 42:16 situated [2] - 12:1, 34:13 situation [2] - 26:3, 45:20 **six** [4] - 20:24, 21:12, 21:19, 32:10 size [1] - 40:22 **Skadden** [1] - 5:15 **SKADDEN** [1] - 2:18 **skill** [1] - 38:8 **SLATE** [1] - 2:18 slippage [1] - 24:6 so-called [3] - 17:20, 21:2, 21:17 Solomid [1] - 9:14 solve [1] - 15:1 sometimes [2] - 19:9, 42:13 somewhat [2] - 43:23, 44:7 soon [1] - 35:16 sort [2] - 8:7, 30:14 sorts [1] - 41:1 SOUTH [1] - 2:23 **SOUTHERN** [1] - 1:2 Southern [1] - 43:11 span [1] - 27:20 speaking [3] - 8:20, 32:16, 47:9 special [10] - 36:1, 36:6, 36:7, 36:11, 36:14, 37:22, 38:2, 38:14, 38:22, 46:9 **specific** [1] - 19:3 specifically [2] - 9:9, 9:10 speculation [1] -43:19 **speculative** [1] - 43:23 **speed** [1] - 37:5 sports [24] - 8:20, 8:25, 9:6, 9:18, 9:25, 15:12, 16:12, 16:16, 16:20, 17:8, 18:2, 18:22, 21:2, 27:14, 34:1, 34:5, 34:10, 35:3, 38:13, 48:16, 49:19, 49:24 spring [1] - 43:21

stage [1] - 33:6 standing [1] - 31:7 **standpoint** [1] - 21:16 start [8] - 6:10, 8:10, 24:23, 24:25, 25:8, 26:21, 37:12, 38:20 State [3] - 5:3, 9:11, 53:4 state [1] - 4:4 **STATE** [1] - 2:4 **statements** [1] - 11:25 states [1] - 7:16 **STATES** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 status [9] - 4:1, 6:2, 6:24, 32:12, 51:2, 51:9, 51:18, 51:25, 52:10 **STATUS** [1] - 1:10 stay [28] - 8:4, 25:17, 25:24, 26:8, 26:11, 26:12, 27:7, 27:15, 27:24, 28:21, 29:21, 33:5, 33:11, 33:13, 33:15, 34:4, 34:7, 34:25, 35:4, 44:4, 44:21, 47:4, 47:7, 47:11, 47:17, 47:25, 48:23, 49:9 stayed [8] - 8:1, 25:16, 25:19, 28:24, 30:5, 34:2, 44:19, 44:20 staying [4] - 24:12, 24:14. 25:10. 35:11 Stebbins [2] - 5:8, 40:7 **STEBBINS** [1] - 2:10 Steen [1] - 5:12 **STEEN** [1] - 2:14 steering [1] - 6:15 Stenographic [1] -53:4 stenographic [1] -53:7 **STENOGRAPHICAL** LY [1] - 3:1 stenographically [1] -53:6 step [1] - 39:18 **STEPHEN** [1] - 1:14 Stephen [2] - 4:8, 9:12 steps [1] - 39:16 stick [2] - 8:10, 52:5 sticks [1] - 43:20 still [5] - 10:8, 12:8, 32:3, 32:21, 37:21 stipulation [1] - 13:1 **STREET** [2] - 1:19, 2.23 Street [1] - 1:15 subgroup [1] - 20:18

subject [3] - 12:8, 45:4, 48:19 subjected [1] - 28:7 submit [5] - 6:7, 28:11, 28:19, 37:24, 38:3 **submitted** [1] - 6:9 **subsequent** [1] - 7:20 substantial [1] - 17:13 substantially [1] -38:17 subtopics [1] - 6:17 succeed [1] - 37:12 success [1] - 36:18 sucked [1] - 33:23 suggest [4] - 16:11, 39:20, 39:25, 40:15 suggested [1] - 41:20 suggestion [1] - 38:7 **SUITE** [9] - 1:15, 1:19, 1:23, 2:2, 2:4, 2:8, 2:11, 2:21, 2:24 summarizes [1] -32:13 superseded [1] -46:24 superseding [2] -13:15, 19:13 support [1] - 25:24 suppose [1] - 10:16 supposed [1] - 43:8 surprises [1] - 23:19 suspect [1] - 21:10

## Т

table [5] - 4:8, 23:10, 41:20, 47:9, 48:3 tagalong [2] - 7:17, tagged [1] - 11:9 tailored [1] - 27:17 technically [1] - 12:25 tee [2] - 34:3, 44:7 tens [1] - 29:6 terms [13] - 13:10, 15:25, 17:18, 17:21, 17:24, 18:16, 21:16, 32:18, 39:16, 40:2, 44:3, 44:7, 46:10 TERTERYAN [2] -2:22, 5:19 Terteryan [1] - 5:20 **THE** [101] - 1:10, 1:13, 1:18, 4:2, 4:11, 4:18, 5:25, 8:9, 8:22, 9:2, 9:5, 9:9, 9:15, 10:2, 10:10, 10:15, 10:18, 10:23, 11:1, 11:10,

11:16, 12:11, 12:14,

19:22, 21:1, 21:9, 21:18, 21:21, 21:23, 22:6, 22:8, 22:20, 23:4, 23:14, 23:17, 24:14, 24:17, 25:13, 26:22, 27:8, 28:2, 31:5, 33:4, 33:24, 34:3, 34:7, 35:2, 35:7, 36:16, 36:21, 39:1, 39:23, 40:19, 41:22, 42:2, 42:8, 43:2, 43:6, 43:24, 44:10. 44:14. 44:25. 45:3. 45:12. 46:14. 46:20, 47:13, 47:16, 47:19, 48:6, 48:9, 48:11, 48:13, 48:20, 49:2, 49:5, 49:16, 49:20, 50:5, 50:8, 50:16, 51:6, 51:10, 51:20, 52:5, 52:7 themselves [1] - 26:8 theory [4] - 29:3, 29:8, 40:4, 44:5 thereafter [1] - 36:13 they've [2] - 23:5, 45:18 thinks [2] - 8:4, 36:6 third [2] - 32:3, 35:19 **Thoma** [2] - 5:20, 5:23 THOMA [1] - 2:22 Thomas [9] - 5:1, 8:19, 17:7, 18:21, 22:1, 27:13, 38:12, 39:24, 44:2 THOMAS [2] - 2:3, 2:20 thoughts [2] - 36:2, 36:4 three [10] - 8:23, 20:20, 23:3, 23:5, 25:4, 25:6, 28:24, 38:20, 39:9, 41:2 timeline [3] - 14:18, 38:19, 43:7 timewise [1] - 24:6 timing [2] - 14:17, 44:4 to.. [1] - 23:16 today [6] - 6:1, 8:8, 14:20, 41:6, 48:10, 50:12 today's [2] - 8:21, 50:20 Todd [1] - 5:17

12:20, 12:23, 13:3,

13:8, 14:1, 14:14,

16:8, 16:23, 17:2,

17:9, 18:20, 19:4,

14:19, 14:24, 16:2,

**TODD** [1] - 2:20 together [6] - 6:2, 6:4, 15:20, 16:4, 41:4, 42:13 TOM [2] - 2:3, 2:10 Tom [3] - 5:2, 5:9, 9.11 topic [1] - 19:5 topics [1] - 6:7 total [1] - 18:16 touch [2] - 40:19, 41:17 towards [1] - 23:22 town [1] - 50:24 track [5] - 16:21, 17:3, 17:10, 24:3, 24:5 tracked [1] - 16:13 tracks [2] - 17:15, 17:20 TRANSCRIPT [1] -1:10 transcript [1] - 53:7 transfer [5] - 10:7, 12:9, 12:15, 12:17, 33:23 transferred [6] - 9:24, 15:15, 15:20, 33:17, 44:19, 44:23 Trevor [1] - 9:13 trial [2] - 31:2, 43:12 tried [1] - 11:20 TRISH [3] - 3:2, 53:4, 53:15 true [2] - 45:14, 53:7 try [11] - 6:4, 7:4, 22:24, 23:2, 23:16, 23:21, 26:6, 35:15, 41:13, 42:5, 42:6 trying [6] - 17:3, 24:3, 37:4, 41:4, 42:18, 49:14 turned [1] - 37:7 twenty [1] - 48:10 two [8] - 14:21, 15:2, 27:20, 31:3, 31:7, 31:24, 43:12, 44:3 type [2] - 28:10, 28:11 types [1] - 31:11 typical [1] - 45:16

### U

**U.S** [6] - 21:8, 26:4, 26:25, 27:5, 27:9, 29:24 **Udonis** [2] - 5:6, 9:12 **UDONIS** [1] - 2:6 ultimately [1] - 19:20 unbeknownst [1] -30:11

uncommon [1] - 35:23 under [6] - 16:4, 21:16, 29:21, 32:21, 36:4, 46:18 underlying [2] - 29:8, 30:3 unhelpful [1] - 36:3 unique [2] - 18:7, 29:23 **UNITED** [2] - 1:1, 1:11 unless [2] - 21:12, 37:9 unlikely [1] - 24:21 unnecessarily [2] -50:23, 51:3 unnecessary [2] -15:14, 40:13 unopposed [1] - 25:21 unusual [1] - 34:24 unworkable [1] -42:23 up [22] - 6:25, 9:5, 12:14, 13:5, 21:16, 34:3, 35:15, 35:16, 37:4, 38:25, 39:5, 40:3, 41:18, 44:7, 46:15, 47:5, 49:11, 49:17, 50:11, 50:18, 51:3, 51:14 useful [2] - 8:8, 36:11

### V

value [3] - 14:19, 20:11, 37:22 various [2] - 6:16, 10:3 venture [3] - 18:3, 18:7, 21:3 vice [1] - 51:21 view [4] - 16:19, 19:10, 24:19, 46:3 virtually [1] - 45:9 Voyager [1] - 32:1

### W

wait [1] - 30:23 waiting [2] - 47:6, 47:20 waive [2] - 15:2, 15:10 waiver [1] - 49:22 waiving [1] - 10:20 wants [6] - 34:7, 35:5, 37:16, 39:23, 44:7, 47:25 warrant [1] - 33:7 warranted [1] - 27:21 Warriors [2] - 5:3,

9:12

**WARRIORS** [1] - 2:4 **WATKINS** [1] - 2:10 Watkins [1] - 5:9 wave [1] - 20:6 week [8] - 32:13, 37:15, 43:19, 50:12, 50:15, 51:3, 51:8 weekend [1] - 13:5 weeks [1] - 27:20 weighs [1] - 35:11 weird [1] - 44:17 well-founded [2] -28:19. 29:13 WEST [1] - 2:18 whatsoever [1] -28:13 whole [1] - 26:8 wide [1] - 28:4 William [1] - 9:13 willing [2] - 19:6, 37:23 winnowed [1] - 38:18 wise [1] - 40:4 Wonderland [1] -32:16 words [1] - 46:3 works [1] - 46:10

#### Υ

year [3] - 31:3, 31:11, 43.21 years [1] - 37:21 **YORK** [3] - 2:15, 2:19 York [1] - 43:11 you-all [1] - 52:9 yourself [2] - 16:24, 17:5

# Ζ

yourselves [1] - 42:16

**ZACK** [2] - 1:14, 4:10 Zack [1] - 4:9 Zooms [1] - 51:1 **ZUTSHI** [21] - 2:13, 5:11, 11:18, 12:12, 12:16, 12:22, 12:25, 13:6, 13:9, 14:3, 14:16, 19:6, 22:12, 23:7, 23:15, 28:22, 34:13, 41:12, 48:14, 48:21, 50:9 **Zutshi** [7] - 5:11, 11:18, 19:6, 22:12, 28:22, 41:12, 48:15